FILED
U. S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FEB 11 1971
JAMES R. COONEY, CLERK
BY DEPUTY Margaret Driver

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BEAUMONT DIVISION

CLARENCE BOREL

VS. CIVIL ACTION NO. 6449

FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORPORATION, ET AL

OBJECTION OF DEFENDANT, FIBREBOARD CORPORATION, TO THE DISMISSAL OF THE CROSS-ACTIONS FOR CONTRIBUTION AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS, OWENS-CORNING FIBERGLAS CORPORATION AND STANDARD ASBESTOS MANUFACTURING AND INSULATING COMPANY

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW the defendant, Fibreboard Corporation, and files this, its objection to the motion of the plaintiff and defendants, Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation and Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, requesting that the Court enter a proposed judgment disposing of all claims and causes of action, including all cross-actions and counterclaims, against the defendants, Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation and Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, and it also files its objections to the judgment entered by the Court on February 3, 1971, in behalf of Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation and to the proposed judgment in behalf of Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company. The interlocutory judgment on file herein reflects that Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation has entered into a compromise with the plaintiff and thereby have asserted they are no longer necessary or proper parties to this suit or any counterclaim or cross-action herein.

1192


Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10136/1

An interlocutory judgment has been entered by the Court decreeing that Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation should be in all things dismissed from this suit, both as to plaintiff's cause of action and the cause of action asserted by National Surety Corporation as intervener. It is the understanding of counsel for Fibreboard Corporation that a similar judgment will be entered in behalf of Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company. If the order or orders of the Court can be construed as dismissing Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation and Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company from this lawsuit for all purposes, including the dismissal of the cross-action of Fibreboard Corporation and the cross-action of other defendants, then Fibreboard Corporation objects to the dismissal of its cross-claim against the defendants, Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation and Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, and as grounds therefor would show:

1.

Plaintiff's second amended original complaint on file herein alleges that the defendants, Fibreboard Corporation; Combustion Engineering, Inc.; Johns-Manville Products Corporation; Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation; Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc.; Pittsburgh Corning Corporation; Unarco Industries, Inc.; Philip Carey Corporation; Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company; Ruberoid Company; and Armstrong Cork Company, were jointly guilty of negligence and breach of warranties proximately causing damage to plaintiff's decedent which resulted in his death.

Fibreboard Corporation, as one of the alleged joint tort-feasors, filed a cross-action against each and all of the


Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10136/2

defendants for contribution. Each and all of the cross-defendants are before the Court on the cross-action of Fibreboard Corporation and each of said cross-defendants have filed answers to said cross-action.

The defendants, Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation and Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, have entered into a compromise settlement agreement with plaintiff, where they have been dismissed from this suit; however, this defendant is not a party to such compromise settlement agreement and has in nowise entered into a compromise agreement with the co-defendants and does not agree that said cross-defendants may be dismissed.

2.

Plaintiff alleges that all of the defendants are jointly and severally liable, and if this be true, then this defendant will be entitled to contribution on its cross-action and is entitled to have the said cross-defendants remain as cross-defendants on its cross-action for contribution. Likewise, it is entitled to treat each of said co-defendants and cross-defendants as adverse parties on its cross-action. Under the law, in order for this defendant to be entitled to credit for the payments heretofore made by the co-defendants and cross-defendants, it is necessary to obtain a finding that said co-defendants caused or contributed to cause the plaintiff's damage, and since said co-defendants are also cross-defendants of Fibreboard Corporation, and have answered said cross-action and are before this Court, this defendant would object to the dismissal of its cross-action, if such has in fact occurred, against the cross-defendants for contribution. Under Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, there is no



*The Borel v. Fibreboard Case File (1969-1972), Objections to dismissal of Owens-Corning and Standard Asbestos* Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 10136 · Page 3 of 6 asbestosclaims.law/library/10136/3

authority in the Court to dismiss the cross-claim or third-party claim of this defendant against the co-defendants, Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation and Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, and this defendant objects thereto and objects to the judgment or judgments heretofore entered without a hearing and without evidence being heard.

3.

This defendant would further show that this case has been pending on this docket for some period of time, numerous depositions have been taken of various witnesses, interrogatories have been propounded to and answers made by representatives of the cross-defendants dismissed, and it would be highly prejudicial to the rights of this defendant for the Court to enter the order and dismiss the cross-defendants from this suit.

WHEREFORE, this defendant prays that the Court consider the motion of the cross-defendants, Owens-Corning Fiberglas Corporation and Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company, and each of them, and that the Court decree that the dismissal of plaintiff's case against said defendants shall in nowise operate to prejudice the cross-claim of Fibreboard Corporation.

Respectfully submitted;

WELLER, WHEELUS, GREEN & BROCATO
P. O. BOX 350
BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77704

BY _____
GEO. A. WELLER,
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT,
FIBREBOARD CORPORATION.


Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10136/4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing instrument was served on the following attorneys by mailing a true and correct copy thereof to their respective offices on the 11th day of February, 1971.

Mr. Ward Stephenson
Stephenson & Thompson
Stephenson Building
Orange, Texas 77630

Mr. George E. Duncan
P. O. Box 3708
Beaumont, Texas 77704

Mr. Gordon R. Pate
Beaumont Savings Building
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Mr. W. N. Arnold, Jr.
Fulbright, Crooker, Freeman, Bates & Jaworski
Bank of the Southwest Building
Houston, Texas 77002

Mr. Ben L. Reynolds
Royston, Rayzor & Cook
877 San Jacinto Building
Houston, Texas 77002

Mr. Dale Dowell
707 Beaumont Savings Building
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Mr. James W. Mehaffy, Sr.
San Jacinto Building
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Mr. Charles S. Pipkin
P. O. Box 1632
Beaumont, Texas 77704

Mr. John G. Tucker
Orgain, Bell & Tucker
Beaumont Savings Building
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Mr. Gerald P. Coley
Vinson, Elkins, Searls & Connally
First City National Bank Building
Houston, Texas 77002

Mr. Robert E. Barnes, Jr.
P. O. Box 5098
Beaumont, Texas 77706

Mr. Frank Bean
500 Jefferson Building
Houston, Texas 77002

OF COUNSEL

1195


Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10136/5

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6449

OBJECTION OF DEFENDANT, FIBREBOARD CORPORATION, TO THE DISMISSAL OF THE CROSS-ACTIONS FOR CONTRIBUTION AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS, OWENS-CORNING FIBREGLAS CORPORATION AND STANDARD ASBESTOS MANUFACTURING AND INSULATING COMPANY

CLARENCE BOREL

VS.

FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORPORATION, ET AL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BEAUMONT DIVISION

WELLER, WHEELUS, GREEN & BROCATO
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
BEAUMONT SAVINGS BUILDING
BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77704

The Borel v. Fibreboard Case File (1969-1972), Objections to dismissal of Owens-Corning and Standard Asbestos
ACL Doc 10136 · Page 6 of 6
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10136/6