IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BEAUMONT DIVISION

FILED
NOV 30 1971
M. 19
James R. Cooney, Clerk, U. S. Cou
By Hazel E. Studdard DEPUTY

CLARENCE BOREL

VS.

FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORPORATION, ET AL

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6449

ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE VERDICT OF THE JURY, ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANTS' ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR JUDGMENT NOTWITHSTANDING THE VERDICT OF THE JURY UNDER RULE 50(b), AND ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANTS' FURTHER ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL

BE IT REMEMBERED, that on the 29th day of September, 1971, the Court having submitted this case to the jury on special interrogatories and special verdict under Rule 49(a), and the jury having returned its answers and such answers and verdict having been filed and each of the defendants having duly and timely filed their respective motions for judgment on the verdict of the jury; and in the alternative, each of the defendants having filed their motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict of the jury under Rule 50(b); and in the further alternative, said defendants having filed their motion for new trial under Rule 50(b), and the Court having set the plaintiff's motion for judgment and having set each of the defendants' motion for judgment on the verdict of the jury, motion for judgment notwithstanding the verdict of the jury under Rule 50(b); and in the further alternative, defendants' motions for new trial, and each of the respective counsel for plaintiff and the defendants having appeared before the Court and argued same to the Court, and the Court being of the opinion that each and all of said motions should be overruled;

Civ. Order Book
Vol 49 Page 133

1995

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10268/1

It is ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED that each of said motions were duly and timely filed with the Clerk and Court and have been duly and timely considered by the Court, and it is the order of the Court that the motions of the defendants, Pittsburgh Corning Corporation, Johns-Manville Products Corporation, Fibreboard Corporation, Philip Carey Corporation, Armstrong Cork Company and Ruberoid Company, and each of them, for judgment on the verdict of the jury; in the alternative, defendants' motions for judgment notwithstanding the verdict of the jury under Rule 50(b); and in the further alternative, defendants' motion for new trial, be and the same are each and all this day overruled and judgment has on this date been entered in favor of the plaintiff;

To which each and all of the rulings of the Court each separate defendant duly and timely excepts.

SIGNED, RENDERED and ENTERED this 30th day of ~~October~~ NOVEMBER, 1971.

JOE J. FISHER, JUDGE PRESIDING

1996

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10268/2

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6449

CLARENCE BOREL

VS.

FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORPORATION, ET AL

ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE VERDICT OF THE JURY, ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANTS' ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ~~NOTWITHSTANDING THE VERDICT~~ OF THE JURY UNDER RULE 50(b), AND ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANTS' FURTHER ALTERNATIVE MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL.

WELLER, WHEELUS, GREEN & BROCATO
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
BEAUMONT SAVINGS BUILDING
BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77704

The Borel v. Fibreboard Case File (1969-1972), Order denying all defendants' motions for new trial
ACL Doc 10268 · Page 3 of 3
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10268/3