Regular mail

cc Mr. A. Williams, G. H.
Mr. H. E. Miller, Pittsburg
File

85

Pittsburg Factory
July 31, 1933

Mr. D. C. Renton,
Chief Engineer,
Factories & Mines,
Manville

DUST EXHAUST SYSTEM
Yours July 20, 1933
File M - 6 - 6

My letter to Mr. Williams dated June 21, 1933, indicating expenditure of $1500.00 as being required for roofing machine, was in error as it should have stated for #4 Building(Roofing and Paper Mill combined). We are attaching blueprints of our several up-to-date layouts.

#1 Building. Cement Dept, Blueprint P-832

Fanpbers, delivered price	$15.00	
Our labor and material	200.00	
Hoods,labor, piping,fans,etc	838.00	1253.00
Klaw-Knox, delivered price	726.94	
Our labor and material	200.00	
Hoods, labor, piping,fans,etc	600.00	
	1526.94	
Pipe Covering,and Dusts, Blueprint P-831, using Lampes Federal Collector		
Freight, labor, etc	200.00	
Our labor and material	325.00	
Hoods,labor & piping to fans, etc.	1283.00	1808.00

#2 Building

This is practically all replacing,as explained in my letter of July 7th. We are however, planning on additional piping from picker mill as suggested by you when here. True, we have had no complaints in this department nor have we had any complaints on the balance of the plant but since theplans require an arrester for #1 Bldg, we naturally assumed it would be necessary for #2 Building and included same - - - - - 1200.00

CE-1

#1 Building, Roofing Dept

Per our letter to you, March 28th, and blueprint P-689	181.00	
Paper Mill(Rag cutters) Blueprint, P-744	1427.00	1608.00
TOTAL		$669,00
Additional, if Blow-Knox equipment used		273.94
TOTAL		$942.94

We somewhat expected our statement you referred to, would be questioned and by the way of further explanation, personally feel that it is unnecessary to expend the amount of money required to take care of our dust conditions. We do not think our dust situation is severe enough to warrant such an elaborate installation. Early this year all of our men were re-examined and found none with lung ailments caused from dust condition. However, since the responsibility has been put directly up to each plant manager, we felt that if one of our employees become infected, it would probably result in a much greater loss than the sum represented above, and by putting in this equipment it would have a psychological effect, in that precaution was used to try and protect our employees.

C. L. BATES
Plant Engineer

CLB:L

CE-1

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/11148/2