Confidential and Privileged
Attorney's Communication to Client

GHQ Box 91
December 16, 1969

D. B. Wingerter - Manville

cc: P. F. Coggins
M. W. Burleson
W. D. Gaches
R. Nebel
W. M. Deutsch
E. M. Fenner - Research
R. W. Dobson - Manville

WARNING LABELS
INDUSTRIAL INSULATIONS DIVISION

I write in response to your letter of December 12, 1969, to W. M. Deutsch entitled as above.

The wording of the "short form" caution label requested for Marinite is not acceptable from the legal point of view.

You indicate that Marinite may have particular problems insofar as labeling is concerned in that on occasion Marinite is later faced with melamine, asbestos cements, veneers, etc. by some of our customers. It may be that we will have to deal with this by instituting a special program with respect to such customers. For example, registered letters could be written to them advising them of the importance of a caution label appearing on the product when it leaves their shops. A condition of our selling our Marinite products to them could be their undertaking to replace such labels after they have faced the Marinite with such veneers, etc. Additionally, we would supply such warning labels "gratis" to the customers who receive such letters. I understand from our conversation that there are available adhesives for use on the back of warning labels that would not pull off the veneer, etc. when such labels are removed at the final job sites.

Placing a label 3/8" x 4" long on the edges of the product would not be the best course to follow legally without regard to the particular wording employed. However, even if we were to agree to labels to be placed on the edges we would still require different wording than that suggested.

R. R. Standel, Jr.

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/11804/1