General Headquarters
October 18, 1957

C. L. Sheckler - Manville

Industrial Hygiene Studies - Textile Department
Your Letter October 9 to M. F. Naylor

Since the proposed study is evidently going to become a matter of some discussion, I would recommend strongly that steps be taken to insure the validity of the survey and to indoctrinate the union committee in the interpretation of survey results.

I would recommend specifically that

(1) a minimum of three samples be taken at each sampling station involved. Should there be operations included which have recognized periodic variations in dust production during the operational cycle, a minimum of five samples should be taken with careful notations as to the phase of the cycle being sampled.

(2) Prior to the review of the survey results with a union committee, it would be well to demonstrate the basic concepts of a pneumoconiosis producing situation by a demonstration similar to that included in the Industrial Insulations Division Injury and Accident Prevention presentation.

(3) While after appropriate indoctrination under (2) above, the results of the survey may well be reviewed and discussed with the union representatives, I would recommend that no copy of the results be given them. I do not believe that such would be of any constructive value to them and, further, if continuation or litigation may be subsequently anticipated, a survey by an independent agency would undoubtedly have to be obtained.

H. M. Jackson

HMJ:KB
cc: H. J. O'Brien - Manville
U. D. Terrance - Manville
M. F. Naylor - Manville