15 13

Johns-Manville

TWENTY-TWO EAST FORTIETH STREET
NEW YORK, N.Y.

December 24, 1934

Mr. M. F. Judd,
Raybestos-Manhattan, Inc.,
Bridgeport, Conn.

Re: Preliminary Metropolitan Report

Dear Mr. Judd:

I am afraid that my telephone call to your secretary last Thursday resulted in the return of the galley proof of the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company's preliminary study before you had an opportunity to examine it; however, I believe you will agree that under the circumstances it was best to comply with Dr. Lanza's request that it be returned promptly. He had received word from Dr. Sayers in Washington that unless the proof were returned to them with suggested changes and corrections by Monday of this week it would be printed as it stood. It seems it is scheduled for inclusion in some governmental publication that will appear on December 28th.

I think that Mr. Hobart and I together canvassed fairly thoroughly the points in the proof to which we could justifiably suggest additions, omissions or changes in phraseology that will be beneficial from the Industry viewpoint. The interest of your Company in the Report is identical to that of Johns-Manville and it, therefore, follows that whatever we may be able to accomplish will be for the benefit of both.

I am enclosing a copy of my letter to Dr. Lanza returning the galley proof to him.

Very truly yours,

Vandiver Brown

Vandiver Brown
Attorney

12-1934

VB:T
Enclosure

RM 146 [illegible]
M-15

CRMC-Motley1000-Subj-000451

3 0 1 0 1 3 3 0 4 7 3

Johns-Manville
TWENTY-TWO EAST FORTIETH STREET
NEW YORK, N.Y.

JM

December 24, 1934

Mr. M. F. Judd,
Raybestos-Manhattan, Inc.,
Bridgeport, Conn.

Re: Preliminary Metropolitan Report

Dear Mr. Judd:

I am afraid that my telephone call to your secretary last Thursday resulted in the return of the galley proof of the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company's preliminary study before you had an opportunity to examine it; however, I believe you will agree that under the circumstances it was best to comply with Dr. Lanza's request that it be returned promptly. He had received word from Dr. Sayers in Washington that unless the proof were returned to them with suggested changes and corrections by Monday of this week it would be printed as it stood. It seems it is scheduled for inclusion in some governmental publication that will appear on December 28th.

I think that Mr. Hobart and I together canvassed fairly thoroughly the points in the proof to which we could justifiably suggest additions, omissions or changes in phraseology that will be beneficial from the Industry viewpoint. The interest of your Company in the Report is identical to that of Johns-Manville and it, therefore, follows that whatever we may be able to accomplish will be for the benefit of both.

I am enclosing a copy of my letter to Dr. Lanza returning the galley proof to him.

Very truly yours,

2- 1935

Vandiver Brown
Attorney

Enclosure

C05215
RM 14