866

Corporate Trumbull

Mr. J. E. Day, Jr. March 4, 1977

Draft of Letter for Asbestos Textile Customers

To expedite a response to your memo of February 11th, I have marked up and attached a copy of your draft and have the following additional comments.

I suggest the last sentence of the second paragraph read as follows:

> "The booklet also contains the complete text of the OSHA asbestos standard and a description of the USPHS/NIOSH membrane filter method for evaluating airborne asbestos fibers".

I suggest the first two sentences of the 4th paragraph read as follows:

> "These fabrics were tested under unusually severe laboratory conditions for the purpose of determining the relative dustiness of various types of fabrics. The tests are not indicative of normal service conditions".

You will note that in the last paragraph I have eliminated the words "safely" and "safe". We must avoid the use of this word as a safe level of exposure has not been established.

I am enclosing an updated list of laboratories and consultants offering monitoring services to replace the one included in your package.

As Bill Krucke is aware, I have never been very enthusiastic about using the results of the comparative fabric tests run at McGill for sales promotion purposes as it seems to me the argument is rather weak. I suggest you discuss this with Bob Sturm as mentioned on the phone today.



DEFA

21925

M-866

CRMC-Motley1000-Subj-004583

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/13063/1

I can see no legal problems involved in the letter, but will discuss it with Bob Sims. If you do not hear from me to the contrary within a week, you can assume it is okay.

John H. Marsh

/as

Enclosure

cc: Messrs. M. W. Oliver
R. B. Sims
R. H. Sturm
I. H. Weaver

JHM 24923

CRMC-Motley1000-Subj-004584