IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BEAUMONT DIVISION

FILED
M. June 20 1969
James R. Gowney, Clerk, U. S. Court
By Myra Barton DEPUTY

SAMUEL R. POTTER

VS.

FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORPORATION, ET AL

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6329

ORIGINAL ANSWER OF DEFENDANT
ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY CORPORATION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW Armstrong Contracting and Supply Corporation, one of the defendants herein, and for answer to plaintiff's Complaint says:

FIRST DEFENSE

The complaint fails to state a claim against your defendant upon which relief may be granted, and therefore should be dismissed.

SECOND DEFENSE

1. Your defendant admits the allegations of paragraph (1) of the complaint.

2. Your defendant admits the allegations of paragraph (2) of the complaint.

3. Your defendant admits the allegations of paragraph (3) of the complaint.

4. Your defendant admits the allegations of paragraph (4) of the complaint.

5. With respect to the allegations of paragraph (5) of the complaint your defendant says that it is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth thereof and therefore denies the same.

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10293/1

6. With respect to the allegations of paragraph (6) of the complaint your defendant says that it is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth thereof and therefore denies the same.

7. With respect to the allegations to paragraph (7) of the complaint your defendant denies the same.

8. With respect to the allegations to paragraph (8) of the complaint your defendant denies the same.

9. With respect to the allegations to paragraph (9) of the complaint your defendant denies the same.

10. With respect to the allegations of paragraph (10) of the complaint your defendant says that it is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth thereof and therefore denies the same.

11. With respect to the allegations of paragraph (11) of the complaint your defendant says that is is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth thereof and therefore denies the same.

12. With respect to the allegations of paragraph (12) of the complaint your defendant says that is is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth thereof and therefore denies the same.

13. With respect to the allegations of paragraph (13) of the complaint your defendant denies the same.

14. With respect to the allegations of paragraph (14) of the complaint your defendant denies the same.

15. With respect to the allegations of paragraph (15) of the complaint your defendant denies the same.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, your defendant prays that on a hearing that plaintiff take nothing and that your defendant go hence and recover its costs.

The Potter v. Fibreboard Case File (1969-1972), Answer
ACL Doc 10293 · Page 2 of 4
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10293/2

FULBRIGHT, CROOKER, FREEMAN
BATES & JAWORSKI

L. S. Carony

Attorneys for Defendant Armstrong
Contracting and Supply
Corporation

Address:

800 Bank of the Southwest Building
Houston, Texas 77002

I, W. N. Arnold, Jr., one of the attorneys of record for the Defendant, Armstrong Contracting and Supply Corporation, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing answer was on this the 19 day of June, 1969, mailed to Messrs. Stephenson, Thompson and Morris, P. O. Box 68, Orange, Texas 77630, attorneys of record for the Plaintiff, by mailing same through the United States mail properly stamped and addressed as above.

W. N. Arnold, Jr.

Attorney for Defendant Armstrong
Contracting and Supply
Corporation

The Potter v. Fibreboard Case File (1969-1972), Answer
ACL Doc 10293 · Page 3 of 4
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10293/3

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6329

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT
COURT FOR THE EASTERN DIVISION
OF TEXAS, BEAUMONT DIVISION

SAMUEL R. POTTER

VS.

FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS
CORPORATION, ET AL

ORIGINAL ANSWER OF DEFENDANT
ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND
SUPPLY CORPORATION, ET AL

FULBRIGHT, CROOKER, FREEMAN, BATES & JAWORSKI
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
BANK OF THE SOUTHWEST BUILDING
HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10293/4