IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BEAUMONT DIVISION

FILED
AUG 29 1969
M 19
James R. Cooney, Clerk, U. S. Court
By Margaret Driver DEPUTY

SAMUEL R. POTTER

VS.

FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORPORATION, ET AL.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6329.

DEFENDANT RUBEROID COMPANY'S
ORIGINAL ANSWER

COMES NOW the Defendant "Ruberoid Company" and files the following answer to Plaintiff's First Amended Original Complaint, and as grounds therefor would respectfully represent unto the Court the following:

MOTION TO DISMISS

This Defendant, "Ruberoid Company", denies that it is a corporation and further denies that the C.T. Corporation System of Dallas, Texas, is an agent for service upon said named Defendant, and for such good and sufficient reasons Plaintiff's complaint as to "Ruberoid Company" should be dismissed.

Robert E. Barnes.

Sworn to and subscribed before me the undersigned authority on this the 29th day of August, 1969.

Beatrice L. Engel
Notary Public in and for
Jefferson County, Texas.

Respectfully submitted,

BARNES & BARNES

BY Robert E. Barnes

2315 Calder - P.O. Box 5098,
Beaumont, Texas,
Telephone 833-8601,
Attorneys for the Defendant.

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10316/1

FIRST DEFENSE

This Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph No. 1.

SECOND DEFENSE

This Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph No. 2.

THIRD DEFENSE

This Defendant admits the allegations contained in paragraph No. 3.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Since this named Defendant is not a corporation nor an entity of any kind, it cannot admit nor deny the allegations contained in paragraph No. 4, nor does this named Defendant have any knowledge as to the other Defendants, and for such reason this named Defendant can neither admit nor deny the allegations contained in paragraph No. 4.

FIFTH DEFENSE

This Defendant has no knowledge about the matters alleged in paragraph No. 5 and therefore can neither admit nor deny the same.

SIXTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 6.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 7.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 8.

NINTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 9.

The Potter v. Fibreboard Case File (1969-1972), Answer
ACL Doc 10316 · Page 2 of 5
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10316/2

TENTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 10.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 11.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 12.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 13.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 14.

FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraph No. 15.

SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in Plaintiff's prayer.

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

This Defendant says that Plaintiff's cause of action is barred by the two year Statutes of Limitations and that for such good and sufficient reason Plaintiff's pleadings should be dismissed, at least as to this Defendant.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, this Defendant prays that it be dismissed and go hence without day and recover its costs.

Respectfully submitted,

BARNES & BARNES

BY Robert E. Barnes

2315 Calder - P.O. Box 5098,
Beaumont, Texas,
Telephone 833-8601,
Attorneys for the Defendant.

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10316/3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the above and foregoing has been served upon counsel for the Plaintiff by depositing the same in the United States mail addressed to Mr. Ward Stephenson, Stephenson Building, P.O. Box 68, Orange, Texas 77630, on the 29th day of August, 1969.

Robert E. Barnes.

Robert E. Barnes.

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10316/4

Civil Action No. 6329

In the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of Texas
Beaumont Division

Samuel R. Potter

vs.

Fibreboard Paper Products
Corporation, et al.

DEFENDANT RUBEROID COMPANY'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

BARNES & BARNES
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
2315 CALDER - P. O. BOX 5098
BEAUMONT, TEXAS

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10316/5