FILED
U. S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
FEB 22 1972
JAMES R. COONEY, CLERK
BY DEPUTY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BEAUMONT DIVISION

SAMUEL R. POTTER

VS. CIVIL ACTION NO. 6329

FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORPORATION, ET AL

J U D G M E N T

BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 4th day of February, 1972, the above entitled and numbered cause wherein SAMUEL R. POTTER is plaintiff, and FIBREBOARD CORPORATION (erroneously sued herein as Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation), COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC., JOHNS-MANVILLE PRODUCTS CORPORATION, OWENS-CORNING FIBER GLASS CORPORATION, EAGLE-PICHER INDUSTRIES, INC., PITTSBURGH CORNING CORPORATION, UNARCO INDUSTRIES, INC., PHILIP CAREY CORPORATION, ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY CORPORATION, STANDARD ASBESTOS MANUFACTURING AND INSULATING COMPANY, RUBEROID COMPANY, Division of GAF CORPORATION, and ARMSTRONG CORK COMPANY are defendants, came on to be heard and each of the respective parties, plaintiff, defendants and cross-defendants appeared either in person or by their attorneys of record and announced ready for trial. Also came the intervenor, CHARTER OAK FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, and the Court having heard the pleadings, the evidence and argument of counsel and then having been advised that each and all of the parties to this suit had settled and compromised the same and it appearing that all payments made and agreed to be made pursuant to said settlement have been paid and that Judgment should be entered that plaintiff and intervenor take nothing against the defendants, it is therefore

ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that the

Civ. Order Book
Vol 50 Page 260

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10561/1

plaintiff SAMUEL R. POTTER and the intervenor, CHARTER OAK FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, do have and recover nothing on their respective causes of action asserted against each of the defendants, FIBREBOARD CORPORATION, COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC., JOHNS-MANVILLE PRODUCTS CORPORATION, OWENS-CORNING FIBER GLASS CORPORATION, EAGLE-PICHER INDUSTRIES, INC., PITTSBURGH CORNING CORPORATION, UNARCO INDUSTRIES, INC., PHILIP CAREY CORPORATION, ARMSTRONG CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY CORPORATION, STANDARD ASBESTOS MANUFACTURING AND INSULATING COMPANY, RUBEROID COMPANY, Division of GAF CORPORATION, and ARMSTRONG CORK COMPANY; and that such defendants be discharged with their costs.

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED by the Court that each of the defendants, all of whom filed a cross-action against each of the remaining defendants, should have and take nothing on their respective cross-actions.

It is further ORDERED that the Judgment heretofore rendered in behalf of Standard Asbestos Manufacturing and Insulating Company and Pittsburgh-Corning Corporation shall become a final judgment by reason of the entry and disposition of the claims of the plaintiff against each and all of the defendants and disposition against each and all of the cross-defendants.

SIGNED and ENTERED this the 22nd day of February, 1972.

JUDGE PRESIDING

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

WARD STEPHENSON, Attorney for Plaintiff
SAMUEL R. POTTER

GEO. A. WELLER, Attorney for Defendant
FIBREBOARD CORPORATION

The Potter v. Fibreboard Case File (1969-1972), Judgment on the settlements
ACL Doc 10561 · Page 2 of 5
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10561/2

CHARLES S. PIPKIN, Attorney for Defendant
COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC.

GORDON R. PATE, Attorney for Defendant
JOHNS-MANVILLE PRODUCTS CORPORATION

JOHN G. TUCKER, Attorney for Defendant
JOHNS-MANVILLE PRODUCTS CORPORATION

DALE DOWELL, Attorney for Defendant
OWENS CORNING FIBER GLASS CORPORATION

BEN L. REYNOLDS, Attorney for Defendant
EAGLE-PICHER INDUSTRIES, INC.

GEORGE E. DUNCAN, Attorney for Defendant
PITTSBURGH CORNING CORPORATION

GERALD P. COLEY, Attorney for Defendant
UNARCO INDUSTRIES, INC.

W. N. ARNOLD, Attorney for Defendants
PHILIP CAREY CORPORATION, ARMSTRONG
CONTRACTING AND SUPPLY CORPORATION and
ARMSTRONG CORK COMPANY

JAMES W. MEHAFFY, Attorney for Defendant
STANDARD ASBESTOS MANUFACTURING AND
INSULATING COMPANY

The Potter v. Fibreboard Case File (1969-1972), Judgment on the settlements
ACL Doc 10561 · Page 3 of 5
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10561/3

Robert E. Barnes

ROBERT E. BARNES, Attorney for Defendant
RUBEROID COMPANY, Division of GAF CORPORATION

George E. Murphy

GEORGE E. MURPHY, Attorney for Intervenor
CHARTER OAK FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY

The Potter v. Fibreboard Case File (1969-1972), Judgment on the settlements
ACL Doc 10561 · Page 4 of 5
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10561/4

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6329

SAMUEL R. POTTER

VS.

FIBREBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORPORATION, ET AL

J U D G M E N T

WELLER, WHEELUS, GREEN & BROCATO
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
BEAUMONT SAVINGS BUILDING
BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77704

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10561/5