IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FILED
SEP 26 1967
James R. Cooney, Clerk, U. S. Court
By _____ DEPUTY

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BEAUMONT DIVISION

M _____ 19 __

CLAUDE J. TOMPLAIT

VS.

COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC. ET AL

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5402

COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Comes Now The Travelers Insurance Company, hereinafter styled Intervenor, complaining of all defendants herein, and for its Complaint in Intervention, would show the Court the following:

I

The intervenor is a business corporation duly organized by law and authorized to do business in the State of Texas. Plaintiff and defendants herein have entered general appearance thereby vesting in this Court jurisdiction over their persons. Both plaintiff and defendants may be served with summons and complaints by serving the same upon their respective attorneys of record herein.

II

Plaintiff was an employee of Armstrong Contracting & Supply Corporation during the time he suffered the injuries, or some of the injuries, made the basis of this suit. During such time The Travelers Insurance Company was workmen's compensation insurer of Armstrong Contracting & Supply Corporation under the laws of the State of Texas.

III

By way of agreed judgment in the District Court of Orange County, Texas, The Travelers Insurance Company did pay

The Tomplait v. Combustion Engineering Case File (1962-1969), Complaint in intervention Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 10576 · Page 1 of 5 asbestosclaims.law/library/10576/1

to Claude J. Tomplait, the plaintiff herein, the sum of $5,000.00 in compromise settlement of compensation for injuries received by him while in the employ of Armstrong Contracting & Supply Corporation, and in addition thereto paid the sum of $12.55 costs assessed by the court in said case. These are the same injuries made the basis of this suit.

IV

By virtue of Section 6a, Article 8307, V. A. T. S., the said The Travelers Insurance Company is subrogated to the right of plaintiff herein, to the extent of its payment as aforesaid.

V

The intervenor herein adopts such allegations as the plaintiff has heretofore made or will in the future make, and further it says that the injuries to Claude J. Tomplait proximately were caused by the negligence of the agents, servants, or employees of the defendants herein.

VI

By virtue of law, the intervenor is entitled to assert this, its cause of action in the name of the workman, and this it desires to do. This complaint in intervention is for the purpose only of enforcing the intervenor's subrogation rights, and is not to be read to the jury.

VII

Further, in connection with this complaint in intervention, the intervenor would show that it is entitled to recover a reasonable attorney's fee in connection with the assertion of its subrogation rights and these attorney's fees may be fixed by the court.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, intervenor prays

The Tomplait v. Combustion Engineering Case File (1962-1969), Complaint in intervention Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 10576 · Page 2 of 5 asbestosclaims.law/library/10576/2

that the plaintiff and defendants be served with a copy of this Complaint in Intervention and that upon final hearing hereof it do have and recover of and from the defendants the sum of $5,000.00, together with a reasonable attorney fee and for costs of court. Intervenor prays for such other and further relief to which it may show itself entitled.

Respectfully Submitted,

George E. Murphy, Attorney
for Intervenor, The Travelers
Insurance Company

615 San Jacinto Building
Beaumont, Texas 77701

C E R T I F I C A T E

A copy of the foregoing Complaint in Intervention was sent to the following attorneys of record:

Mr. Ward Stephenson, Attorney at Law
Stephenson, Thompson & Morris
Stephenson Building
Orange, Texas

Attorney for Plaintiff

Mr. Ben L. Reynolds, Attorney at Law
Royston, Rayzor & Cook
877 San Jacinto Building
Houston , Texas 77002

Attorney for Defendant EAGLE-INCHER INDUSTRIES, INC.

Mr. John D. Rienstra
Attorney at Law
707 Beaumont Savings Building
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Attorney for Defendant OWENS-CORNING FIBER GLASS CORPORATION

Mr. John D. Tucker, Attorney at Law
Orgain, Bell & Tucker
Beaumont Saving s Building
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Attorney for Defendant GUSTIN-BACON MANUFACTURING COMPANY

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10576/3

Mr. George Weller, Attorney at Law
Weller, Wheelus & Green
P. O. Box 350
Beaumont, Texas 77704

Attorney for Defendant FIBERBOARD PAPER PRODUCTS CORPORATION

Mr. Charles S. Pipkin, Attorney at Law
Strong, Pipkin, Strong & Nelson
P. O. Box 1632
Beaumont, Texas 77704

Attorney for Defendants COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC. and REFRACTORY & INSULATION CORPORATION

Mr. George Duncan, Attorney at Law
Wells, Duncan & Beard
Beaumont Savings Building
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Attorney for Defendants PITTSBURGH PLATE GLASS COMPANY and PITTSBURGH CORNING CORPORATION

Mr. Gordon R. Pate,
Attorney at Law
1119 Beaumont Savings Building
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Attorney for Defendants JOHNS-MANVILLE SALES CORPORATION, JOHNS-MANVILLE PRODUCTS CORPORATION and JOHNS-MANVILLE FIBER GLASS, INC.

Mr. Jas. W. Mehaffy, Jr.
Attorney at Law
Keith, Mehaffy & Weber
1400 San Jacinto Building
Beaumont, Texas 77701

Attorney for Intervenor TEXAS EMPLOYERS' INSURANCE ASSOCIATION

George E. Murphy
Attorney for Intervenor, The Travelers Insurance Company

The Tomplait v. Combustion Engineering Case File (1962-1969), Complaint in intervention
ACL Doc 10576 · Page 4 of 5
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10576/4

CIVIL NO. 5402

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, BEAUMONT DIVISION

CLAUDE J. TOMPLAIT

VS.

COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC. ET AL

COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION

GEORGE E. MURPHY
[redacted]
ATTORNEY AT LAW
615 SAN JACINTO BUILDING
BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77701

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10576/5