jl

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BEAUMONT DIVISION

FILED
JUL 9 - 1968
James E. Colley, Clerk
By Margaret Stines

CLAUDE J. TOMPLAIT	)	
	(	
VS.	)	C. A. NO. 5402
	(	
COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC. ET AL	)	
	(	

EAGLE-PICHER INDUSTRIES, INC.
DESIGNATION OF DOCTORS WHO MIGHT TESTIFY
PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER DATED
JUNE 14, 1968

Defendant, Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc. submits the following list of doctors who might testify and the general nature of the testimony to be produced upon the trial of said action:

(1) Dr. Stanton P. Fisher, 6624 Fannin Street, Houston, Texas. The general nature of his testimony will be that set forth in his report, copy of which plaintiff's attorney has been furnished.

(2) Dr. Thomas A. Lombardo, 3155 Stagg Drive, Beaumont, Texas. The general nature of his testimony will be that set forth in his report dated February 22, 1963, copy of which plaintiff's attorney has.

(3) Dr. James R. Gish, 109 Doctor's Building, Beaumont, Texas. The general nature of his testimony will be that contained in his report with reference to x-ray pictures which he made

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10776/1

of the claimant at the request of Dr. Thomas A. Lombardo, copy of which report is in possession of plaintiff's counsel.

(4) Dr. John Greco, Bridge City, Texas. The general nature of his testimony is unknown at this time, as he has previously stated that he has lost all of his records in connection with the treatment of the claimant.

(5) Dr. W. V. Leary, M. D. Anderson Hospital, Houston, Texas. The general nature of his testimony will be that set forth in his report, copy of which is in possession of plaintiff's attorney.

(6) Dr. T. R. Jones, Houston, Texas. The general nature of his testimony will be that set forth in his report, copy of which plaintiff's attorney has.

(7) Dr. I. J. Selikoff, State of New Jersey. The general nature of his testimony will be that as given on his written deposition.

(8) Investigation is continuing and it may become necessary to call another doctor or doctors and if it should become necessary to call any other doctors opposing counsel will be notified.

ROYSTON, RAYZOR & COOK

By 

Ben L. Reynolds
877 San Jacinto Building
Houston, Texas 77002

The Tomplait v. Combustion Engineering Case File (1962-1969), Medical witness designation
ACL Doc 10776 · Page 2 of 4
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10776/2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true copy of the above and foregoing reply was on this the 5th day of July, 1968, served upon all other counsel of record in this action by depositing the same in the United States Mail, addressed to each of said counsel.

Attorneys for Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc.

The Tomplait v. Combustion Engineering Case File (1962-1969), Medical witness designation
ACL Doc 10776 · Page 3 of 4
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10776/3

C. A. NO. 5402

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEAUMONT DIVISION

CLAUDE J. TOMPLAIT

VS.

COMBUSTION ENGINEERING, INC. ET AL

EAGLE-PICHER INDUSTRIES, INC. DESIGNATION OF DOCTORS WHO MIGHT TESTIFY PURSUANT TO COURT ORDER DATED JUNE 14, 1968

ROYSTON, RAYZOR & COOK
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN JACINTO BUILDING
HOUSTON, TEXAS

The Tomplait v. Combustion Engineering Case File (1962-1969), Medical witness designation
ACL Doc 10776 · Page 4 of 4
Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/10776/4