C.L.S. ✓
L w/ Law
J.S. —
Kuntak —
Kirks —
Thomas —

Given - copy to
Earl Hartridge
King City

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
Health, Safety and Environmental Affairs
270 Park Avenue - 22nd Floor
New York, New York 10017

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
tabbies
UC-91

RECEIVED
AUG 14 1978
UNION CARBIDE
KING CITY, CA.

August 3, 1978

Memorandum to: UCC Physicians Sponsor: Mr. J. B. Browning

Subject: Asbestos

Gentlemen:

A major governmental information campaign on the hazards of asbestos is under way. It will undoubtedly cause considerable anxiety among workers who have or think they may have been exposed to asbestos.

It is imperative that you respond to inquiries in a knowledgeable fashion. I know you are busy, but I would appreciate it if you would at least read the two-page background document attached. If you possibly can, I would also suggest that you read the Asbestos Standard and some extracted portions of the NIOSH Criteria Document, copies of which have also been enclosed.

The really heavy exposures to asbestos occurred during World War II when ventilation was poor and respirator use was almost non-existent. It now has been 35 years, and no major epidemic of asbestosis or mesotheliomas has occurred. I believe the popularly projected occurrence of asbestos-related diseases is grossly exaggerated and probably irresponsible.

We now have good industrial hygiene control of asbestos exposures in all our plants. I would urge you to check with the Assistant Corporate Medical Director responsible for your plant and division before you respond to any detailed inquiries about extent of past exposures or presumed medical risks. We will help you get the information you need if it is available.

The insulators' union, through the Insulators' Health Hazards Program, has been active in some locations requesting that chest x-rays be sent to Washington, D.C., to be interpreted by Dr. Irving J. Selikoff. If you should get such a request, we would appreciate learning about it.

Sincerely yours,

Thomas A. Lincoln, M.D.

TAL:BA
Attachments
cc: Mr. J. B. Browning
Mr. T. W. Carmody
Dr. H. H. Haynes

A17634

BACKGROUND

On April 26, 1978 the Secretary for Health, Education, and Welfare, Mr. Joseph Califano announced a governmental campaign to notify physicians, past workers, and others at risk of the associated with asbestos exposure. He made a reasonably objective presentation of the situation that emphasized past, extremely high exposures that occurred before the risk was recognized and before regulations were enacted. Shipyard exposure during World War II received particular attention.

This announcement came after several years of pressure by organized labor and a variety of environmental activist groups. A summary of the steps leading to the announcement and the information program planned by the government are given in Attachment I.

The press coverage of this announcement largely ignored the "high exposures well in the past" concept and implied the risk ~~applied~~ to all significant excess occurred in exposures including today's regulated uses. A good response to the inaccurate coverage is provided in a statement prepared by the Johns-Manville Corporation given here as Attachment II.

EXTENT OF THE MEDICAL PROBLEM

There is extensive, undisputed evidence that insulation workers in the construction trade are at a very substantial excess risk of developing asbestosis and mesothelioma. Those who smoke also are at very high risk from lung cancer.

Asbestos-containing insulation was widely used during World War II in ship .

A17635

construction and repair. Typically it takes 20, 30 or more years from initial exposure for asbestos-related diseases to appear so that the critical time period for wartime activities is now here.

Over the past several years substantial evidence has accumulated that very heavy exposures did occur in the shipyards. They are not only found in the insulation workers but also in other trades who were present in the confined spaces common on shipboard. So far the results have been mainly seen as asbestosis and in various lung changes in shipyard workers, although some excessive mesothelomias have been found at some locations.

The number of people who may be affected is pure speculation at this time. It is generally agreed that the risk of contracting an asbestos-related disease depends directly on the intensity of exposure. The figures of 8-11 million workers exposed that are quoted apparently include all persons who have ever worked in a shipyard plus those from construction, mining and milling of asbestos and those involved with automotive brakes and brake repair. The insulation trade health experience is then applied to this total to arrive at the "five-seven million people will die of asbestos-related disease" statements that frequently appear in the media. This assumes that all of the millions of workers have been exposed at the intensity of those in the insulation trade and will have the same health response. We believe that this is a gross exaggeration due to the assumptions used but there is really no scientific basis to speculate on a more correct estimate.

The medical problem arising from heavy asbestos exposures 20-30 years in the past thus appears to be real and substantial but the extent is clearly undefined.

A17636

[T.D. 72-151]

PART 10—ARTICLES CONDITIONALLY FREE, SUBJECT TO A REDUCED RATE, ETC.

Free Withdrawal of Supplies and Equipment for Aircraft

In accordance with section 309(d), Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1309(d)), the Department of Commerce has found and under date of April 25, 1972, has advised the Treasury Department that Poland allows privileges to aircraft registered in the United States and engaged in foreign trade substantially reciprocal to those provided for in sections 309 and 317 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1309, 1317). The same privileges are therefore hereby extended to aircraft registered in Poland and engaged in foreign trade effective as of the date of such notification.

Accordingly, paragraph (f) of § 10.59, customs regulations, is amended by the insertion of Poland in appropriate alphabetical order and the number of this Treasury decision in the opposite column headed "Treasury Decision(s)" in the list of nations in that paragraph.

(Secs. 309, 317, 624, 46 Stat. 690, as amended, 694, as amended, 759; 19 U.S.C. 1309, 1317, 1624)

[SEAL] EDWIN F. RAINS,
Acting Commissioner of Customs.

Approved: May 25, 1972.

EUGENE T. ROSSIDES,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

[FR Doc.72-8578 Filed 6-6-72;8:50 am]

Title 29—LABOR

Chapter XVII—Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Department of Labor

PART 1910—OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS

Standard for Exposure to Asbestos Dust

On December 7, 1971, an emergency temporary standard concerning exposure to asbestos fibers was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (36 F.R. 23207). In accordance with section 6(c)(3) of the Williams-Steiger Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, a notice of proposed rulemaking regarding a permanent standard for exposure to asbestos fibers was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 12, 1972 (37 F.R. 466). The notice invited interested persons to submit both orally and in writing, data, views, and arguments concerning the proposal.

On or about January 24, 1972, the Advisory Committee on Asbestos Dust was established and requested to make written recommendations with regard to the proposed standard on asbestos. On or about February 1, 1972, the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare transmitted to the Secretary of Labor a criteria document containing Recommendations for an Occupational Exposure Standard for Asbestos by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). Public notice was given of the receipt of the recommendations and their availability for inspection and copying. On or about February 25, 1972, the Advisory Committee on Asbestos Dust submitted its written recommendations to the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.

Pursuant to the notice of rule making, a hearing was held on March 14 through 17, 1972, for the purpose of receiving oral data, views, and arguments concerning the proposed standard. On or about March 31, 1972, the presiding hearing examiner certified to the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health the record of the proceeding. The record includes prehearing written comments, a transcript of the oral presentations made at the hearing, and numerous exhibits received during the course of the hearing or within the period allowed after the close of the hearing.

The proposed standard dealt with (1) permissible concentrations of asbestos fibers; (2) methods of compliance; (3) warning signs; (4) monitoring; (5) medical examinations; and (6) recordkeeping. Each of these major proposals elicited comments, arguments, objections, and counterproposals. They all have been examined and considered.

1. *Acceptable concentrations of asbestos dust.* The proposed standard would limit occupational exposure to 8-hour time-weighted average (TWA) airborne concentrations of asbestos dust not exceeding five fibers longer than five micrometers per milliliter. Concentrations above five fibers but not to exceed 10 fibers (ceiling concentration) would be permitted up to 15 minutes in an hour, but for not more than 5 hours in any one 8-hour day.

NIOSH in effect has recommended that the five-fiber TWA and 10-fiber peak concentrations be permitted only for 2 years; thereafter, TWA concentrations should be not more than 2 fibers per cubic centimeter ($cm.^3$) of air, and peak concentrations should not exceed 10 fibers/$cm.^3$, with no time restriction. Numerous objections and counterproposals have been made, with regard to both the limits of asbestos fiber concentrations and the time periods to comply with them. Some, for example, have recommended return to a 12-fiber standard of an earlier day; i.e., a level adopted under the Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act in 1969. Others have recommended a two-fiber standard to become effective in 6 months, then a one-fiber standard for 2 years, and finally a zero-fiber standard after 3 years. These recommendations give a fair indication of the wide spread of the counterproposals.

No one has disputed that exposure to asbestos of high enough intensity and long enough duration is causally related to asbestosis and cancers. The dispute is as to the determination of a specific level below which exposure is safe. Various studies attempting to establish quantitative relations between specific levels of exposure to asbestos fibers and the appearance of adverse biological manifestations, such as asbestosis, lung cancers, and mesothelioma, have given rise to controversy as to the validity of the measuring techniques used and the reliability of the relations attempted to be established. Because of the long lapse of time between onset of exposure and biological manifestations, we have now evidence of the consequences of exposure, but we do not have, in general, accurate measures of the levels of exposure occurring 20 or 30 years ago, which have given rise to these consequences. There are also controversies concerning the relative toxicity of the various kinds of asbestos, and varying hazards in different workplaces.

It is fair to say that the controversy has centered in the area between a two-fiber TWA concentration and five-fiber TWA concentration, with variations on the time needed for compliance. Many employers support a five-fiber TWA. Most medical opinion is divided between a two-fiber standard and a five-fiber standard.

In view of the undisputed grave consequences from exposure to asbestos fibers, it is essential that the exposure be regulated now, on the basis of the best evidence available now, even though it may not be as good as scientifically desirable. An asbestos standard can be re-evaluated in the light of the results of ongoing studies, and future studies, but cannot wait for them. Lives of employees are at stake.

It is concluded that there should be one minimum standard of exposure to asbestos applicable to all workplaces exposed to any kind, or mixture of kinds, of asbestos. Reasons of practical administration preclude a variety of standards for different kinds of asbestos and of workplaces. Also, while the evidence tends to show that crocidolite, for instance, is more harmful than chrysotile, the evidence is not sufficient to establish separate standards for varieties of asbestos.

Because there must be one standard governing exposure to all varieties of asbestos, and in workplaces apparently more hazardous than others; because some present employees with regular exposure to asbestos have probably already accumulated great doses of asbestos fibers, due to higher levels of exposure in the past; because it appears that levels of exposure which may be safe with regard to asbestosis are not safe with regard to mesothelioma; because the statute requires the protection of every employee, even of one who may have regular exposure to asbestos during a working life which may reach, or even exceed, 40 years; and because of several other considerations which have been urged and are reflected in the record of the proceeding, the conflict in the medical evidence is resolved in favor of the health of employees. As of July 1, 1976, TWA concentrations of asbestos fibers longer than 5 micrometers will not be allowed to exceed two fibers/cc., with a ceiling value of 10 fibers/cc. The current TWA concentrations of five fibers, and

A17637

Union Carbide trial exhibit UC-91: Thomas A. Lincoln to UCC Physicians on the Government Asbestos Information… Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 15368 · Page 4 of 13 asbestosclaims.law/library/15368/4

ceiling concentrations of 10 fibers/cc. will be permitted until July 1, 1976, during what will be a transitional period deemed necessary to allow employers to make the needed changes for coming into compliance with the more stringent standard.

The record shows that the many work operations subject to the single asbestos standard (textile, manufacturing, industrial, and marine installation, etc.) will meet varying degrees of difficulty in complying with the standard. In some plants, extensive redesign and relocation of equipment may be needed. It appears, however, the delay in the effective date of the two-fiber standard will provide all employers a reasonable time to comply. At the same time, so long as the ceiling limit is complied with, no harm is reasonably expected to result from exposures during the transitional period.

2. *Methods of compliance.* It has been pointed out by many persons, that protection against asbestos fibers is best obtained by controlling the generation of fibers first, and secondly, by controlling the dispersion of released fibers into the ambient air of the workplaces. Therefore, the standard requires feasible technological controls and appropriate work practices as the primary means of compliance. Rotation of employees as a way of meeting the TWA concentration requirement is allowed only in stated exceptional circumstances, because, as a general rule, it would be difficult to implement. Personal protective equipment, such as respirators, cannot be relied upon because, among other reasons, they may be so uncomfortable as to be burdensome, except for short periods of time. Therefore, it is expected that respirators and shift rotation will be used during the period necessary to install engineering controls and to train employees in sound work practices, but, after technological compliance has been achieved, their use must be limited to special work situations and emergencies. Where both are practicable, shift rotation is required.

3. *Labeling.* The proposed standard stopped short of requiring labeling asbestos and asbestos-containing products. The proposed standard would have required only warning signs at locations where asbestos hazards are present. However, labeling, rather than warning signs, has proved to be a point of controversy. Both NIOSH and the Advisory Committee on Asbestos Dust recommended labels for asbestos products and containers, and these recommendations became very controversial in the course of the proceeding. Many counterproposals have been made as to the language of the warning as well as to the products to be subject to the labeling requirements. Employers, in general, strongly contend that (1) finished products which effectively entrap asbestos fibers, so that these would not be released in the normal use of the products, should not be required to be labeled; and (2) words such as "danger" and "cancer" are unwarrantedly alarming.

Both contentions have merit, and the standard has been changed accordingly.

4. *Monitoring.* The proposed standard would have required personal monitoring and environmental monitoring. Many issues have been raised concerning the availability and reliability of measuring instruments, frequency of monitoring, and conditions in which monitoring should be required. The adopted standard takes the objections into consideration. It requires periodic monitoring at intervals no longer than 6 months, thus allowing considerable time and discretion, and prescribes the use of the membrane filter method, which is an acceptable method for determination of asbestos fibers.

It has also been recommended that employees or their representatives should have an opportunity to observe the monitoring. The recommendation has been accepted.

5. *Medical examinations.* The proposed standard would only require an appropriate medical examination on a periodic basis. The generality of the proposal has attracted many objections and also many helpful comments. The recommendations of NIOSH and of the Advisory Committee on Asbestos Dust were much more specific with respect to both frequency and type of medical examinations to be required. The comments vary as to the class of employees to be examined and as to the frequency of the examinations.

The adopted standard requires medical examinations both at the beginning and the termination of employments exposed to concentrations of asbestos fibers, and also requires annual medical examinations of every employee exposed to airborne concentrations of asbestos. It has been pointed out that in certain industries, such as construction, an employee may work for several employers during the same year. Accordingly, the standard does not require either preemployment, or termination, or periodic examinaton of any employee who has been examined in accordance with the standard within the past year.

One question which has been raised goes to whether the employer or the employee should be allowed to choose the examining physician. The standard gives the option to the employer. Since some employers already have a medical examination program in operation, and, also, have medical departments with some expertise in the diagnosis of asbestos-related diseases, it seems more reasonable to permit them to utilize the present programs and expertise, than to permit an employee to choose a private general practitioner.

6. *Records.* The standard, as proposed and as adopted, requires maintenance of records of monitoring and of medical examinations. Most of the controversy in this area has revolved around the question whether an employer should be allowed to have access to the results of the required medical examinations. The apprehension of those who have argued against employer access is based on the expectation that some employers will use the medical examinations as a means of screening employment applicants, and worse, as grounds for discharging current employees, who show signs of being affected by exposure to asbestos. Since the purpose of the medical examinations is to monitor the health of employees exposed to the hazards of asbestos, employees cannot in reason be granted the privilege of refusing to disclose to their employers results of occupational exposure. It does not make sense to require employers to provide medical examinations if they cannot know and use the results of the examinations. For these reasons the standard provides that employers may have a restricted access to some medical information.

On the other hand, there is no intention to allow employers to abuse medical information obtained pursuant to the Act, to the detriment of employees. Therefore, the administration of the medical records requirement will be closely watched, and, in cases of abuse, appropriate action will be considered.

The issues discussed above are believed to be the major ones. Numerous other issues have been raised in the rulemaking proceedings. Some have been referred to incidentally. Many recommendations, for instance, about work practices, are so obviously meritorious that their adoption needs no exposition here. Other recommendations and many objections have not been adopted for a variety of reasons which should be manifest. Several, for instance, have recommended the use of respirators only pursuant to a variance, or in cases of emergency and occasional short-term exposures. The recommendation with respect to variances undoubtedly has many merits, but is considered administratively impractical.

Accordingly, after consideration of the whole record of the proceeding, and pursuant to sections 6 (b) and (c) and 8(c) of the Williams-Steiger Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1593, 1596, 1599; 29 U.S.C. 655, 657), 29 CFR 1910.4, and to Secretary of Labor's Order No. 12-71 (36 F.R. 8754), Part 1910 of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as set forth below.

(1) Section 1910.93 is amended by revising Table G-3 to read as follows:

Union Carbide trial exhibit UC-91: Thomas A. Lincoln to UCC Physicians on the Government Asbestos Information… Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 15368 · Page 5 of 13 asbestosclaims.law/library/15368/5

§ 1910.93 *Air contaminants.*

• • • • •

TABLE G-9—MINERAL DUSTS

Substance	Mppcf	Mg/M³
Silica:		
Crystalline:		
Quartz (respirable)	250[1]	10mg/M³ ÷ (%SiO₂+2)
Quartz (total dust)	%SiO₂+5	%SiO₂+2 / 30mg/M³
Cristobalite: Use ½ the value calculated from the count or mass formulae for quartz.		%SiO₂+2
Tridymite: Use ½ the value calculated from the formulae for quartz.		
Amorphous, including natural diatomaceous earth	20	80mg/M³
		%SiO₂
Silicates (less than 1% crystalline silica):		
Mica	20	
Soapstone	20	
Talc	20	
Portland cement	50	
Graphite (natural)	15	
Coal dust (respirable fraction less than 5% SiO₂)		2.4mg/M³ or
For more than 5% SiO₂		10mg/M³
		%SiO₂+2
Inert or Nuisance Dust:		
Respirable fraction	15	5mg/M³
Total dust	50	15mg/M³

NOTE: Conversion factors—
mppcf÷0.283=million particles per cubic meter
=particles per c.c.

[1] Millions of particles per cubic foot of air, based on impinger samples counted by light-field technics.

[2] The percentage of crystalline silica in the formula is the amount determined from air-borne samples, except in those instances in which other methods have been shown to be applicable.

[3] As determined by the membrane filter method at 430 × phase contrast magnification.

[4] Both concentration and percent quartz for the application of this limit are to be determined from the fraction passing a size-selector with the following characteristics:

Aerodynamic diameter (unit density spheres)	Percent passing selector
2	90
2.5	75
3.5	50
5.0	25
10	0

The measurements under this note refer to the use of an AEC instrument. If the respirable fraction of coal dust is determined with a MRE the figure corresponding to that of 2.4 Mg/M³ in the table for coal dust is 4.5 Mg/M³.

2. A new § 1910.93a is added to Part 1910, reading as follows:

§ 1910.93a Asbestos.

(a) *Definitions.* For the purpose of this section, (1) "Asbestos" includes chrysotile, amosite, crocidolite, tremolite, anthophyllite, and actinolite.

(2) "Asbestos fibers" means asbestos fibers longer than 5 micrometers.

(b) *Permissible exposure to airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers.*—(1) *Standard effective July 7, 1972.* The 8-hour time-weighted average airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers to which any employee may be exposed shall not exceed five fibers, longer than 5 micrometers, per cubic centimeter of air, as determined by the method prescribed in paragraph (e) of this section.

(2) *Standard effective July 1, 1976.* The 8-hour time-weighted average airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers to which any employee may be exposed shall not exceed two fibers, longer than 5 micrometers, per cubic centimeter of air, as determined by the method prescribed in paragraph (e) of this section.

(3) *Ceiling concentration.* No employee shall be exposed at any time to airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers in excess of 10 fibers, longer than 5 micrometers, per cubic centimeter of air, as determined by the method prescribed in paragraph (e) of this section.

(c) *Methods of compliance.*—(1) *Engineering methods.* (i) *Engineering controls.* Engineering controls, such as, but not limited to, isolation, enclosure, exhaust ventilation, and dust collection, shall be used to meet the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section.

(ii) *Local exhaust ventilation.* (a) Local exhaust ventilation and dust collection systems shall be designed, constructed, installed, and maintained in accordance with the American National Standard Fundamentals Governing the Design and Operation of Local Exhaust Systems, ANSI Z9.2–1971, which is incorporated by reference herein.

(b) See § 1910.6 concerning the availability of ANSI Z9.2–1971, and the maintenance of a historic file in connection therewith. The address of the American National Standards Institute is given in § 1910.100.

(iii) *Particular tools.* All hand-operated and power-operated tools which may produce or release asbestos fibers in excess of the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section, such as, but not limited to, saws, scorers, abrasive wheels, and drills, shall be provided with local exhaust ventilation systems in accordance with subdivision (ii) of this subparagraph.

(2) *Work practices.*—(i) *Wet methods.* Insofar as practicable, asbestos shall be handled, mixed, applied, removed, cut, scored, or otherwise worked in a wet state sufficient to prevent the emission of airborne fibers in excess of the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section, unless the usefulness of the product would be diminished thereby.

(ii) *Particular products and operations.* No asbestos cement, mortar, coating, grout, plaster, or similar material containing asbestos shall be removed from bags, cartons, or other containers in which they are shipped, without being either wetted, or enclosed, or ventilated so as to prevent effectively the release of airborne asbestos fibers in excess of the limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section.

(iii) *Spraying, demolition, or removal.* Employees engaged in the spraying of asbestos, the removal, or demolition of pipes, structures, or equipment covered or insulated with asbestos, and in the removal or demolition of asbestos insulation or coverings shall be provided with respiratory equipment in accordance with paragraph (d)(2)(iii) of this section and with special clothing in accordance with paragraph (d)(3) of this section.

(d) *Personal protective equipment.*—(1) Compliance with the exposure limits prescribed by paragraph (b) of this section may not be achieved by the use of respirators or shift rotation of employees, except:

(i) During the time period necessary to install the engineering controls and to institute the work practices required by paragraph (c) of this section;

(ii) In work situations in which the methods prescribed in paragraph (c) of this section are either technically not feasible or feasible to an extent insufficient to reduce the airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers below the limits prescribed by paragraph (b) of this section; or

(iii) In emergencies.

(iv) Where both respirators and personnel rotation are allowed by subdivisions (i), (ii), or (iii) of this subparagraph, and both are practicable, personnel rotation shall be preferred and used.

(2) Where a respirator is permitted by subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, it shall be selected from among those approved by the Bureau of Mines, Department of the Interior, or the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, under the provisions of 30 CFR Part 11 (37 F.R. 6244, Mar. 25, 1972), and shall be used in accordance with subdivisions (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) of this subparagraph.

(i) *Air purifying respirators.* A reusable or single use air purifying respirator, or a respirator described in subdivision (ii) or (iii) of this subparagraph, shall be used to reduce the concentrations of airborne asbestos fibers in the respirator below the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section, when the ceiling or the 8-hour time-weighted average airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers are reasonably expected to exceed no more than 10 times those limits.

(ii) *Powered air purifying respirators.* A full facepiece powered air purifying respirator, or a powered air purifying respirator, or a respirator described in subdivision (iii) of this subparagraph, shall be used to reduce the concentrations of airborne asbestos fibers in the respirator below the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section, when the ceiling or the 8-hour time-weighted average concentrations of asbestos fibers are reasonably expected to exceed 10 times, but not 100 times, those limits.

(iii) *Type "C" supplied-air respirators, continuous flow or pressure-demand class.* A type "C" continuous flow or pressure-demand, supplied-air respirator shall be used to reduce the concentrations of airborne asbestos fibers in the respirator below the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section, when the ceiling or the 8-hour time-weighted average airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers are reasonably expected to exceed 100 times those limits.

(iv) *Establishment of a respirator program.* (a) The employer shall establish a respirator program in accordance with

A17633

Union Carbide trial exhibit UC-91: Thomas A. Lincoln to UCC Physicians on the Government Asbestos Information… Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 15368 · Page 6 of 13 asbestosclaims.law/library/15368/6

the requirements of the American National Standards Practices for Respiratory Protection, ANSI Z88.2–1969, which is incorporated by reference herein.

b. See § 1910.6 concerning the availability of ANSI Z88.2–1969 and the maintenance of an historic file in connection therewith. The address of the American National Standards Institute is given in § 1910.100.

(c) No employee shall be assigned to tasks requiring the use of respirators if, based upon his most recent examination, an examining physician determines that the employee will be unable to function normally wearing a respirator, or that the safety or health of the employee or other employees will be impaired by his use of a respirator. Such employee shall be rotated to another job or given the opportunity to transfer to a different position whose duties he is able to perform with the same employer, in the same geographical area and with the same seniority, status, and rate of pay he had just prior to such transfer, if such a different position is available.

(3) Special clothing: The employer shall provide, and require the use of, special clothing, such as coveralls or similar whole body clothing, head coverings, gloves, and foot coverings for any employee exposed to airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers, which exceed the ceiling level prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section.

(4) Change rooms: (i) At any fixed place of employment exposed to airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers in excess of the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section, the employer shall provide change rooms for employees working regularly at the place.

(ii) Clothes lockers: The employer shall provide two separate lockers or containers for each employee, so separated or isolated as to prevent contamination of the employee's street clothes from his work clothes.

(iii) Laundering: (a) Laundering of asbestos contaminated clothing shall be done so as to prevent the release of airborne asbestos fibers in excess of the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) Any employer who gives asbestos-contaminated clothing to another person for laundering shall inform such person of the requirement in (a) of this subdivision to effectively prevent the release of airborne asbestos fibers in excess of the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section.

(c) Contaminated clothing shall be transported in sealed impermeable bags, or other closed, impermeable containers, and labeled in accordance with paragraph (g) of this section.

(e) *Method of measurement.* All determinations of airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers shall be made by the membrane filter method at 400–450 × (magnification) (4 millimeter objective) with phase contrast illumination.

(f) *Monitoring*—(1) *Initial determinations.* Within 6 months of the publication of this section, every employer shall cause every place of employment where asbestos fibers are released to be monitored in such a way as to determine whether every employee's exposure to asbestos fibers is below the limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section. If the limits are exceeded, the employer shall immediately undertake a compliance program in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section.

(2) *Personal monitoring*—(i) Samples shall be collected from within the breathing zone of the employees, on membrane filters of 0.8 micrometer porosity mounted in an open-face filter holder. Samples shall be taken for the determination of the 8-hour time-weighted average airborne concentrations and of the ceiling concentrations of asbestos fibers.

(ii) Sampling frequency and patterns. After the initial determinations required by subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, samples shall be of such frequency and pattern as to represent with reasonable accuracy the levels of exposure of employees. In no case shall the sampling be done at intervals greater than 6 months for employees whose exposure to asbestos may reasonably be foreseen to exceed the limits prescribed by paragraph (b) of this section.

(3) *Environmental monitoring*—(i) samples shall be collected from areas of a work environment which are representative of the airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers which may reach the breathing zone of employees. Samples shall be collected on a membrane filter of 0.8 micrometer porosity mounted in an open-face filter holder. Samples shall be taken for the determination of the 8-hour time-weighted average airborne concentrations and of the ceiling concentrations of asbestos fibers.

(ii) *Sampling frequency and patterns.* After the initial determinations required by subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, samples shall be of such frequency and pattern as to represent with reasonable accuracy the levels of exposure of the employees. In no case shall sampling be at intervals greater than 6 months for employees whose exposures to asbestos may reasonably be foreseen to exceed the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section.

(4) *Employee observation of monitoring.* Affected employees, or their representatives, shall be given a reasonable opportunity to observe any monitoring required by this paragraph and shall have access to the records thereof.

(g) *Caution signs and labels.* (1) *Caution signs.* (i) *Posting.* Caution signs shall be provided and displayed at each location where airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers may be in excess of the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section. Signs shall be posted at such a distance from such a location so that an employee may read the signs and take necessary protective steps before entering the area marked by the signs. Signs shall be posted at all approaches to areas containing excessive concentrations of airborne asbestos fibers.

(ii) *Sign specifications.* The warning signs required by subdivision (i) of this subparagraph shall conform to the requirements of 20" x 14" vertical format signs specified in § 1910.145(d)(4), and to this subdivision. The signs shall display the following legend in the lower panel, with letter sizes and styles of a visibility at least equal to that specified in this subdivision.

Legend	*Notation*
Asbestos	1" Sans Serif, Gothic or Block.
Dust Hazard	3/4" Sans Serif, Gothic or Block.
Avoid Breathing Dust	1/4" Gothic.
Wear Assigned Protective Equipment.	1/4" Gothic.
Do Not Remain In Area Unless Your Work Requires It.	1/4" Gothic.
Breathing Asbestos Dust May Be Hazardous To Your Health.	14 point Gothic.

Spacing between lines shall be at least equal to the height of the upper of any two lines.

(2) *Caution labels*—(i) *Labeling.* Caution labels shall be affixed to all raw materials, mixtures, scrap, waste, debris, and other products containing asbestos fibers, or to their containers, except that no label is required where asbestos fibers have been modified by a bonding agent, coating, binder, or other material so that during any reasonably foreseeable use, handling, storage, disposal, processing, or transportation, no airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers in excess of the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section will be released.

(ii) *Label specifications.* The caution labels required by subdivision (i) of this subparagraph shall be printed in letters of sufficient size and contrast as to be readily visible and legible. The label shall state:

CAUTION

Contains Asbestos Fibers

Avoid Creating Dust

Breathing Asbestos Dust May Cause Serious Bodily Harm

(h) *Housekeeping*—(1) *Cleaning.* All external surfaces in any place of employment shall be maintained free of accumulations of asbestos fibers if, with their dispersion, there would be an excessive concentration.

(2) *Waste disposal.* Asbestos waste, scrap, debris, bags, containers, equipment, and asbestos-contaminated clothing, consigned for disposal, which may produce in any reasonably foreseeable use, handling, storage, processing, disposal, or transportation airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers in excess of the exposure limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section shall be collected and disposed of in sealed impermeable bags, or other closed, impermeable containers.

(i) *Recordkeeping*—(1) *Exposure records.* Every employer shall maintain records of any personal or environmental monitoring required by this section. Records shall be maintained for a period of at least 3 years and shall be made available upon request to the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, the Director of the National

A17640

Union Carbide trial exhibit UC-91: Thomas A. Lincoln to UCC Physicians on the Government Asbestos Information… Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 15368 · Page 7 of 13 asbestosclaims.law/library/15368/7

Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, and to authorized representatives of either.

(2) *Employee access.* Every employee and former employee shall have reasonable access to any record required to be maintained by subparagraph (1) of this paragraph, which indicates the employee's own exposure to asbestos fibers.

(3) *Employee notification.* Any employee found to have been exposed at any time to airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers in excess of the limits prescribed in paragraph (b) of this section shall be notified in writing of the exposure as soon as practicable but not later than 5 days of the finding. The employee shall also be timely notified of the corrective action being taken.

(j) *Medical examinations*—(1) *General.* The employer shall provide or make available at his cost, medical examinations relative to exposure to asbestos required by this paragraph.

(2) *Preplacement.* The employer shall provide or make available to each of his employees, within 30 calendar days following his first employment in an occupation exposed to airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers, a comprehensive medical examination, which shall include, as a minimum, a chest roentgenogram (posterior-anterior 14 x 17 inches), a history to elicit symptomatology of respiratory disease, and pulmonary function tests to include forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume at 1 second ($FEV_{1.0}$).

(3) *Annual examinations.* On or before January 31, 1973, and at least annually thereafter, every employer shall provide, or make available, comprehensive medical examinations to each of his employees engaged in occupations exposed to airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers. Such annual examination shall include, as a minimum, a chest roentgenogram (posterior-anterior 14 x 17 inches), a history to elicit symptomatology of respiratory disease, and pulmonary function tests to include forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume at 1 second ($FEV_{1.0}$).

(4) *Termination of employment.* The employer shall provide, or make available, within 30 calendar days before or after the termination of employment of any employee engaged in an occupation exposed to airborne concentrations of asbestos fibers, a comprehensive medical examination which shall include, as a minimum, a chest roentgenogram (posterior-anterior 14 x 17 inches), a history to elicit symptomatology of respiratory disease, and pulmonary function tests to include forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume at 1 second ($FEV_{1.0}$).

(5) *Recent examinations.* No medical examination is required of any employee, if adequate records show that the employee has been examined in accordance with this paragraph within the past 1-year period.

(6) *Medical records*—(i) *Maintenance.* Employers of employees examined pursuant to this paragraph shall cause to be maintained complete and accurate records of all such medical examinations. Records shall be retained by employers for at least 20 years.

(ii) *Access.* The contents of the records of the medical examinations required by this paragraph shall be made available, for inspection and copying, to the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, the Director of NIOSH, to authorized physicians and medical consultants of either of them, and, upon the request of an employee or former employee, to his physician. Any physician who conducts a medical examination required by this paragraph shall furnish to the employer of the examined employee all the information specifically required by this paragraph, and any other medical information related to occupational exposure to asbestos fibers.

3. A new § 1910.19 is added to Subpart B of Part 1910, reading as follows:

§ 1910.19 Asbestos dust.

Section 1910.93a shall apply to the exposure of every employee to asbestos dust in every employment and place of employment covered by § 1910.12, § 1910.13, § 1910.14, § 1910.15, or § 1910.16, in lieu of any different standard on exposure to asbestos dust which would otherwise be applicable by virtue of any of those sections.

Effective date. Paragraph (b)(2) of § 1910.93a shall become effective July 1, 1976. All other provisions of §§ 1919.93a, 1910.93, and 1910.19 shall become effective July 7, 1972. The current emergency temporary standard remains in effect until July 7, 1972.

(Secs. 6, 8, 84 Stat. 1593, 1598; 29 U.S.C. 655, 657; 29 CFR 1910.4; Secretary of Labor's Order No. 12-71, 36 F.R. 8754)

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 2d day of June 1972.

G. C. GUENTHER,
Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc.72-8574 Filed 6-6-72;8:48 am]

Title 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

Chapter 9—Atomic Energy Commission

PART 9-1—GENERAL

Subpart 9-1.1—Procurement Regulations

MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS

The changes made in AECPR Subpart 9-1.1, Procurement Regulations, have been made in order to establish the AECPR Temporary Regulations, which are a part of the AEC Procurement Regulations and the Federal Procurement Regulations System. The AECPR Temporary Regulations implement and supplement the FPR Temporary Regulations. They also contain policies and procedures initiated by the AEC which are to be effective for a period of 6 months or less. The AEC Procurement Instruction section has been revised accordingly. Minor editorial changes have also been made.

1. Section 9-1.101 *Scope of subpart*, is revised to read as follows:

§ 9-1.101 Scope of subpart.

This subpart describes the Atomic Energy Commission Procurement Regulations and the AECPR Temporary Regulations. It also describes exclusions from the AECPR as contained in the AEC Procurement Instructions.

2. Section 9-1.102 *Establishment of AEC Procurement Regulations*, is revised to read as follows:

§ 9-1.102 Establishment of the AEC Procurement Regulations and the AECPR Temporary Regulations.

§ 9-1.102-1 AEC Procurement Regulations.

(a) The AEC Procurement Regulations (AECPR) are hereby established.

(b) These regulations implement and supplement the Federal Procurement Regulations (FPR) and are a part of the Federal Procurement Regulations System.

(c) The effective date of FPR issuances throughout AEC will be the date indicated in the respective issuances unless otherwise provided in the AEC Procurement Regulations.

(d) The effective date of AECPR issuances throughout AEC will be the date indicated in the respective issuances.

§ 9-1.102-2 AECPR Temporary Regulations.

(a) The AECPR Temporary Regulations are hereby established.

(b) These regulations implement and supplement the Federal Procurement Regulations Temporary Regulations. They also contain policies and procedures initiated by the AEC which are expected to be effective for a period of 6 months or less.

(c) The effective date of the FPR Temporary Regulations issuances throughout AEC will be the date indicated in the respective issuances unless otherwise provided in the AECPR Temporary Regulations.

(d) The effective date of the AECPR Temporary Regulations issuances throughout AEC will be the date indicated in the respective issuances.

(e) The AECPR Temporary Regulations are a part of the AEC Procurement Regulations and the Federal Procurement Regulations System. All references to the AEC Procurement Regulations or AECPR in §§ 9-1.103 through 9-1.109 of this subpart shall be deemed to include the AECPR temporary regulations.

3. Section 9-1.103 *Authority*, is revised to read as follows:

§ 9-1.103 Authority.

The AEC Procurement Regulations are prescribed by the General Manager, Assistant General Manager for Administration, or the Director, Division of Contracts of the AEC, pursuant to the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, and the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949.

A17641

Union Carbide trial exhibit UC-91: Thomas A. Lincoln to UCC Physicians on the Government Asbestos Information… Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 15368 · Page 8 of 13 asbestosclaims.law/library/15368/8

NIOSH REVISED RECOMMENDED
ASBESTOS STANDARD

III. EFFECTS ON HUMAN

Nonmalignant Respiratory Diseases

(a) Historical Studies

The use of asbestos dates back thousands of years; however, the modern industry dates from about 1880, when it was used to make heat and acid resistant fabrics, (Hendry, 1965; Hueper, 1966). With the increasing use of asbestos materials, reports of asbestos-related disease emerged.

The first record of a case of asbestosis was reported in England by Montague Murray in 1906. Hoffman (1918) reported that it was the practice of American and Canadian insurance companies not to insure asbestos workers due to unhealthful conditions in that industry. Pancoast et al (1917) commented on x-ray changes resembling pneumoconiosis in 15 individuals exposed to asbestos. The first complete description of asbestosis and of the "curious bodies" seen in lung tissue appeared when Cooke (1927) reported on a case of asbestosis, and McDonald (1927) reported on the same and another case. Each author gave reasons for believing that these "curious bodies" originated from asbestos fibers that had reached the lungs. Mills (1930) reported the first case of asbestosis in the United States, and in the same year, Lynch and Smith (1930) reported on "asbestosis bodies"* found in the sputum of asbestos workers. Early studies led many investigators to conclude that people exposed to asbestos dust developed the disease "asbestosis" if the dust concentration was high or their exposure was long (Merewether and Price, 1930; Merewether, 1934;

*"Ferruginous bodies" is a more descriptive term, as other inhaled fibers, eg, fibrous glass, may also become iron coated.

A17642

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/15368/9

Fulton et al 1935; Dreessen et al, 1938).

(b) Epidemiologic Studies

Harries (1968) reported that although first impressions would lead one to believe that only workers continuously exposed to asbestos are at risk of developing asbestosis, further consideration of the industry and processes should have suggested that many other workers were also at risk. For example, some trades worked in confined spaces where asbestos was used. Work in shipboard trades was accepted by the Pneumoconiosis Panel of the United Kingdom as associated with asbestosis.

Murphy et al (1971a) found that asbestosis was 11 times more common among pipe insulators involved in new ship construction than among a control group. Asbestosis first appeared 13 years after exposure or at about 60 mppcf-years. The prevalence was 38% after 20 years. They also reported a case of extensive pleural calcification in a worker whose only known asbestos exposure was during sanding asphalt and vinyl tile floors (Murphy et al, 1971b).

Lorimer et al (1976), in a study of brake repair and maintenance workers exposed to asbestos, found that 25% of the workers showed evidence of x-ray abnormalities consistent with asbestosis. One quarter also had restrictive pulmonary function test findings.

Meurman et al (1973) found a three-fold risk of dyspnea and a two-fold risk of cough for asbestos workers as compared with controls, after adjusting for smoking.

Weill et al (1975) reported a decreased lung function in relation to increasing cumulative dust exposure in a group of asbestos cement manufacturing workers. Ayer and Burg (1976) reported a decrease in pulmonary function in asbestos textile workers with less than ten years of exposure.

A17643

(c) Description of Asbestosis

Asbestosis is a chronic lung disease due to the inhalation of asbestos fibers and is characterized by diffuse interstitial fibrosis, frequently associated with pleural fibrosis (thickening) or pleural calcification.

The characteristic x-ray changes of asbestosis are small irregular opacities in the lower and middle lung fields, often accompanied by pleural thickening and pleural calcifications.

The pulmonary fibrotic changes develop slowly over the years--often progressively even without further exposure--and their radiographic detection is a direct correlate of their extent and profusion. In some cases, minor fibrosis with considerable respirator impairment and disability can be present without equivalent x-ray changes. Conversely, extensive radiographic findings may be present with little functional impairment.

Commonly found in asbestosis are pulmonary rales, dyspnea, finger clubbing and cyanosis, but any of all can be absent in any one case.

Pulmonary hypertension is frequently associated with advanced asbestosis and the resultant cor pulmonale (right-sided heart failure) may be the cause of death.

Carcinogenicity

(a) Occupational Exposure

(1) Historical Studies

In 1935, 55 years after the start of large-scale usage of asbestos in industry, suspicion of an association between asbestosis and lung cancer was reported by Lynch and Smith (1935) in the USA and by Gloyne (1935) in the UK. About 10 years later, case reports of pleural and peritoneal tumors associated with asbestos appeared (Wedler, 1943, a,b; Wyers, 1946). Epidemiologic evidence from Doll (1955) showed a ten-fold excess risk of lung cancers in those UK asbestos textile workers who had been employed before 1930, before regulations produced improved dust

A 17644

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/15368/11

conditions in factories. Similar findings were reported in the USA in 1961. Mesotheliomas were also detected but this fact was not published until later (Mancuso and Coulter, 1963; Selikoff et al, 1964). Possible variations in risk with different types of fiber were rarely considered in the early reports. Since 1964, following the recommendations of the UICC Working Group on Asbestos Cancers (UICC 1965) for new studies, there has been an expansion of epidemiologic studies in many parts of the world.

(2) Epidemiologic Studies

(A) Lung Cancer, Pleural and Peritoneal Mesotheliomas

(i) Mixed Types of Fiber

In most industrial processes different types of fiber are mixed, so that pure exposures to a single asbestos type are rare. Mortality studies of defined populations of asbestos-manufacturing, insulating, and shipyard workers have provided the most concrete evidence concerning the association between bronchial cancer, pleural, and peritoneal mesotheliomas and exposure to asbestos. Reports have come from several countries: (UK) Newhouse, 1969; (FRG) Bohlig et al, 1970; (USA) Selikoff et al, 1970; (UK) Elmes and Simpson 1971; (The Netherlands) Stumphius, 1971; (Italy) Rubino et al, 1972.

A seven-fold excess of lung cancer was found in a group of insulation workers whose exposures had been to chrysotile and amosite but not crocidolite (Selikoff et al, 1971). Enterline and Henderson (1973) reported a 4.4 times increased risk of respiratory cancer mortality among retired men who had worked as production or maintenance employees in the asbestos industry and who had been exposed to mixed fibers. Among men with mixed exposure to crocidolite and chrysotile in the asbestos cement industry, the rate was 6.1 times the expected rate. In a British naval dockyard population, Harries (1976) showed that there had been a steep rise in mesotheliomas since 1964. However the full biologic effects of asbestos in shipyard workers would not have been expected to be detected until the 1970's and thereafter (Selikoff, 1976a).

CROCIDOLITE IS THE BAD ONE!

A17645

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/15368/12

SYNERGISM

There is marked enhancement of the risk of lung carcinoma in those workers exposed to asbestos who also smoke cigarettes (Selikoff et al, 1968; Doll, 1971; Berry et al, 1972; Hammond and Selikoff, 1973); Hammond and Selikoff (1973) interpret the excess lung carcinoma risk from asbestos in nonsmokers to be small. No link between cigarette smoking and mesotheliomas has been observed in a prospective study by Hammond and Selikoff (1973). A preliminary study (Lemen, 1976) on female workers employed between January 1940 and December 1967, in a predominately chrysotile asbestos textile plant, revealed 7 lung cancer deaths among 580 women when only 0.63 deaths were expected ($p<0.01$). One lung cancer death was observed in a smoker, two in women of undetermined smoking history, and four in "never" smokers as determined from hospital admission charts.

It is important to note that the historic documentation of cigarette consumption patterns is lacking for most retrospective cohort studies of asbestos workers. It is further important to note that a sizable portion of the general population, the group usually selected for comparison in these studies, are cigarette smokers. Therefore, the risk of lung cancer demonstrated for these industrial groups exposed to asbestos is of such magnitude as to preclude the identification of an independent etiologic role for cigarette smoking.

A17646