PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
UC-1588

RECEIVED
MAY 6 1974

5-3-74

Bill;

I'll try to catch up on back questions;

I am unable to find anything the 13th of 1972 that would cause a drop in dust counts along the fence line. It is possible that we watched steel pile sparkling etc. closer from that time than had been done previously.

The fine tree the BLM is interested in is the Coulter pine. I understand there is another one too but I don't know it's name.

Big meeting here - 11-23 - I'm sending a copy of Master's minutes -

Avey and Morgan were to let us know about holding the claims along the San Benito as beginning related with the BLM for road rights-ways - they were to get this worked out the day after they left here in Sacramento. To date we haven't heard a word from them. Their opinion at the time they left was that we should not drop these claims this year.

Nothing new or gas from PG&E other than I told you over the phone - ie.)

Al Seefeldt (PG&E) suggests that if we would from

gas we should sign up for it now - we don't have to actually go to it for up to 1 year. He feels that PGE could at any time reduce the 500,000 cf presently allowed / day. If they did and we were signed up, possibly they wouldn't reduce us - only new contracts. He doesn't know about this - it could be that all firm would be reduced - but we wouldn't lose anything and would stand to gain.

In' exclosing copies of the rate schedules - firm and interruptible. Through March we had used 45.0 MM ft^3 of gas - projected to one year this would be 182.8 MM ft^3, or roughly 182.8×10^4 Therms. If we take firm contract our cost will be (73×10^4 Therms firm) $138,960 vs $124,132 all interruptible or 11.9% increase in gas cost.

Al also informs me that the San Francisco office of PG&E has ok'd their acceptance of more gas to us for our expansion. He reminds us that they need a minimum of 6 months lead time for line reinforcement and told me that the costs quoted are only good for 6 months.

We were notified yesterday that we will receive 2 cars - 60 T - of Anatase in May representing cars we should have gotten in June and Nov-Dec. It seems their plant production at present is such that they can get us these 2 cars early. No increase overall in our allotment - just early delivery. I've notified Myers.

I'm also attaching copies of the note from Dick about Atlas stripping-mixing plans (no further information) and the order inquiry from American Tar Co. I told you about these over the phone.

Thanks

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/16686/3

FHL ✓ WANT TO HOLD ANYTHING
ROH
RETURN TO ROM

DICK

My Impressions of Meeting in K.C., 4/23/74 Rom
For My File Only

Those Present
T.S. Ary
P.J. Morgan
Chet Martin
Bud Harvey
Bill Coman
John Meldrum
FHL
ROH
ROM

1) The Discovery Monument (or "Discovery Point") must be on ℄ of Claim.
2) The Discovery Pit need not be on ℄.
3) We have some 12 Claims in the 48 Claims proposed for patenting which need Relocation of Dis. Mon & Amended Certificates.
4) Exterior Posts of the 48 Claims can be easily corrected where necessary, with no Relocation or Amendment? Req'd.
5) Water "Rights" are Riparian only, and water can be used only on land through which the water flows — not on other adjacent claims.
6) Some kind of deal must be concluded with Condor Syndicate. We must maintain their placers, and keep them valid if we

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/16686/4

Do not go to patenting.

7) In 1974 we will probably not release the 50 claims, as earlier proposed. So, post checking & assessment work for these should be done in '74, prior to 8/31/74.

8) Also, same for the four claims we have on the haul road (Lorna, DDF, Nani, & Wan 3)

9) The opinion seemed to be that haul road building or paving is OK for annual assessment work, but no good for patenting expenditures.

10) Count the costs of pit stripping & mining for all 48 of the claims proposed for patenting. (In addition to drilling costs).

11) Drilling costs should be shown for each claim as a total cost per foot (road building, drilling, sampling, etc) times number of feet of hole on the claim.

12) It is hoped that BLM will give us a long-term lease, or similar, on our two locations where the haul road crosses BLM ground.

6.- I understood we were deeded the right to do as we want on those claims - as long as we pay Coldas their royalty.- FHL.

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/16686/5

4/18/74

FHL
(To JLM By Phone)

Folsom is Bidding on A Stripping-Mining Job For Atlas — They Say The Job will Be To Stockpile 250,000 Bank Yards of Ore !!!, which is To Be Then Screened To $-\frac{3}{4}$" ! !

As A Comparison we Are Planning 152,000 Bank Yards of Ore To Be Mined This Year, For 3 Years Mill Operation!
Keith Jones Confirms My Belief That Atlas Gets Only A 10% Recovery.
Also, A Rumor That Atlas is Interested in The Johns-Manville Plant.

Keith Says They (JM) are Still up For Sale, several interested parties. In the meantime They Keep Going Full Blast.
They Are not Doing Anything About Dust Collectors on Their Ore Dryers, which is A Must if the Plant Keeps Going.
He Says Freeman, The Cal OSHA-Mesa Inspector Found Some 40 Things Wrong in Their Plant.

Rom