PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

UC-1834

COPY TO: 1. OPERATING DEPARTMENT HEAD
2. D. R. HALEY
3. K. G. TOWNSEND
4. J. L. WYATT

SHARE AUDIT PROCEDURE

DEPT. LINDE

DATE 1-18-83

	YES	NO
DEFICIENCY EXISTS	✓	

NAME OF PROCEDURE HEARING CONSERVATION

REFERENCE? S+OH PROCEDURES MANUAL

NUMBER OF PERSONNEL INTERVIEWED: 2

A. AWARE OF PROCEDURE?		✓	
B. UNDERSTAND PROCEDURE?		✓	
C. FOLLOW PROCEDURE?		✓	
D. IF NO, WHY NOT?			
E. REMEMBER HAVING RECEIVED TRAINING?	1. LAST YEAR	✓	
	2. TWO YEARS AGO		
	3. THREE YEARS AGO		
UP TO DATE PROCEDURE IN APPROPIATE MANUAL?		✓	
ANY RELATION TO A/I REPORTS IN LAST 6 MONTHS?			✓
SHOULD EXISTING PROCEDURE BE MODIFIED?(IF YES EXPLAIN)			✓
SHOULD RETRAINING BE CONDUCTED?			✓
SHOULD ANOTHER AUDIT BE CONDUCTED?		✓	

IF YES WHEN? 3 MONTHS

AUDITED BY: Mario Gutierrez

APPROVED BY: K. G. Townsend

BRE 0298

NOISE

INSPECTION AND AUDIT

UNIT/AREA/BLDG LINDE DATE 1-11-83

INSPECTION BY Mario Gutierrez

	YES	NO	SEE COMMENT
A. MEASUREMENTS			
1. Is sound pressure level <90 dB(A)?		✓	
2. Is sound pressure level <115 dB(A)?	✓		
3. If >115 is action plan to reduce written?	NA		
4. Is calculated TWA <100%?		✓	
5. If TWA > 100% are engineering controls planned?	NA		
6. If TWA > 100% are administrative controls used?	✓		
7. If TWA > 100% is personal protection used?	✓		
8. Is area free of noisy leaks?	✓		
9. Is sound measurement equipment reliable?	✓		
B. RECORDKEEPING			
1. Are noise maps up-to-date?	✓		
2. Is noise data in computer records?		✓	
3. Is instrument calibration data adequate?	✓		
C. COMMUNICATIONS			
1. Is department head aware of problems?	✓		
2. Are attempts being made to solve problems?	NA		
D. EQUIPMENT			
1. Is old noisy equipment insulated properly?	NA		
2. Is new equipment specified for<90 dB(A)?	✓		

ERE 0299

E. COMMENTS

Union Carbide trial exhibit UC-1834: Mario Gutierrez Share Audit of Hearing Conservation Procedure and Noise an… Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 16901 · Page 3 of 4 asbestosclaims.law/library/16901/3

HEARING PROTECTION

INSPECTION AND AUDIT

UNIT/AREA/BLDG. LINDE DATE 1-11-83

INSPECTION BY Mario Gutierrez

	YES	NO	SEE COMMENT
A. AREA AND EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS			
1. Are noise signs present if >90 dB(A)?	✓		(1)
2. Noise signs easy to spot.	✓		
3. Noise signs legible.	✓		
4. Ear plug boxes near signs.		✓	(2)
5. Sufficient ear plugs in boxes.	NA		
6. Ear muffs available.	✓		
7. Noisy stationary and portable equipment have signs.	NA		
8. Noisy field operations (sandblasting) have signs.	NA		
B. PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS			
1. Know where noise areas are.	✓		
2. Know of available hearing protection devices.	✓		
3. Carry hearing protectors on their person.	✓		
4. Are wearing protectors (if needed).	✓		(3)
5. Are properly trained.	✓		

C. COMMENTS

(1) THE SIGNS ARE NOT AS SPECIFIED IN OUR S+OH PROCEDURES MANUAL

(2) COULDN'T FIND ANY

(3) SOME WORKERS WERE NOT WEARING THEM

BRE 0301

Union Carbide trial exhibit UC-1834: Mario Gutierrez Share Audit of Hearing Conservation Procedure and Noise an... Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 16901 · Page 4 of 4 asbestosclaims.law/library/16901/4