UNION CARBIDE

UNION CARBIDE BELGIUM N.V.

RECEIVED
DEC 5 1967
J.F. FRANGOS

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
tabbies®
UC-2028

V. REF.
N. REF. 1415 /TJH/MLF

BRUXELLES 5, 30 November, 1967
140, AVENUE LOUISE

Mr. F. Dexter
Union Carbide Corporation
New York Office

Dear Frank:

As you know we have been trying to keep close to the asbestos toxicology work in the U.K. Fred Mumpton at Niagara Falls arranged for some work to be carried out on a sample and gave us a report on this. Ian Sayers took the report to the laboratory group in the U.K. which is co-ordinating this work. I am enclosing a copy of his hand written report for your information. When they come back to us for additional analytical work we will pass it on to you. There continues to be a great amount of concern about this subject and we are going to have to learn to live with the problem in the U.K. So far there has been no indication of it being passed on to the continent. — OVER

In this report Ian passes on the request for permission to publish our data and I would like your comments on this. Even if you agree we would try to find out in more detail the conditions under which this data would be presented before agreeing directly with them. Perhaps it would be better to have this sort of publication first approved by Carl Dernehl before we finally agree. Also I will ask Ian to follow directly on obtaining the spectrographic analysis method.

If you or any of the others receiving this letter have any comments I would welcome them.

Best regards,

Dernehl DEPO. EXH. 36
2/16/84
KIRBY A. KENNEDY
COURT REPORTER

Very truly yours,

AU3151

THOMAS J. HALL.

EXHIBIT "C"

This is a good list of background information which is very familiar to me but very simplified here. Suggest we hold the matter for discussion until then when I'll give him.

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/17056/1

SUMMARY ON SAYERS REPORT OF VISIT TO PNEUMOCONIOSIS RESEARCH UNIT 7-11-67

GAVE DR. TIMBRELL MUMPTON'S ANALYTICAL DATA.
PRU NOW SAYS THAT CHRYSOTILE FIBERS, BEING CURVED, ARE LESS LIKELY TO GO DEEP INTO LUNGS. INJECTED CHRYSOTILE DOES CAUSE CANCER IN RATS, SO THE FACT THAT IN PRACTICE IT IS LESS RESPONSIBLE FOR TUMOR PRODUC IS PROBABLY DUE TO ITS GREATER ELIMINATION RATE AS WELL AS ITS GEOM

A03157