UC 14:-2

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

UC-2450

UNION CARBIDE

INTERNAL CORRESPONDENCE

CHEMICALS AND PLASTICS • P. O. BOX K, KING CITY, CALIFORNIA 93930

To (Name) J. A. Riddle
Company Engineering Dept.
Location Niagara Falls

Date January 7, 1972 Refr'd to

Originating Dept. For

Answering letter date RECD JAN 10 1972 E & C

Copy to

Subject Noted by

Filed by M.

John:

Attached find copies of reports etc., you requested. I have not received the Bureau of Mines report yet. I will forward it when we receive it.

As I reported, and as you can see, the sampling was done under the best of conditions-bagging SG 100, no wind, etc. Mr. VanSandt's conclusion regarding the palletzer is wrong-we have always shown this area to be comparable to the bagger area.

We are going to air-line respirators for the baggers and some kind of portable air-line unit for the palletizer operator. The latter presents a problem as nothing practicle is available at the present. White hat has one under development.

To confirm our discussion by phone:

1. ✓ We feel a portable vacuum unit is necessary as soon as possible for cleaning floors in the main bldg., shop, etc. This is to be added as item J-under 1.

2. We suggest addition to list of:
   ✓(a) Vacume on Redlers as well as screws.
   ✓(b) Dust collection hood for Jeffrey Mill circuit.
   ✓(c) Improved collection from bins and top screws.
   (d) Better way to clean 244 dust collector.
   (e) Alarm for broken bags-dust collectors.
   (f) Revise or replace present ore feed front-end loader and hopper circuit to stop winnowed dust contamination of the shop area and mill buildings.

As I mentioned, I requested $75,000 on the "72" Budget but do not know the status of this. In assigning priorities to the items as you have listed them, I think that items 1, 7, and (f) above should have immediate action. One (1) and the effect of "f" upon it are items principly concerned with Dept. of Labor Emergency Standards for exposure to asbestos dust which became law upon 12-7-1971 when they were published in the Federal Register.

continued.....

UCC 015382

The other items result from the EPA proposed Emission Standards and I would think the immediate pressure here is not as great. In fact, some of the proposals need both interpretation of meaning and generation of information to be able to proceed.

Yours very truly,

F. H. Larrison, Jr.

/clg

UCC 015383