UC 1492

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
UC-3890

cc: REB

VI.E.9

UNION CARBIDE

INTERNAL CORRESPONDENCE

Would it help to resurrect this for RG-244 and/or RG-600? JFH 6/14/76

MINING AND METALS DIVISION

4625 ROYAL AVE., P. O. BOX 579, NIAGARA FALLS, NEW YORK, 1430

To (Name) Mr. R. E. Byrne
Division Mining and Metals
Location Niagara Falls, NY

Date February 12, 1975
"Calidria" Asbestos - Marketing

Originating Dept. – TECHNOLOGY DEPARTMENT

Answering letter date

Copy to Mr. W. C. Thurber
Dr. H. B. Rhodes

Subject OSHA Medical Exams

Per our discussions I talked to Jim Murray concerning the Subject and how it affects our sales, especially RG-244.

In general he sees nothing wrong with offering to pay "first-time" fines for customers after we have determined that airborne concentrations do not exceed 1 fiber/cc. He estimates our maximum exposure would be $1000 per incident and this is highly improbable. For the low concentrations we have experienced and anticipate, he does not think there would be any fine.

However, Jim does not see any real sales advantage and suggests that we sound out a few "tough nuts" before we try to "legalize" anything. I agree with this approach and suggest that we determine who would be the best potentials to contact with the "offer." The offer would have to be presented only as a possibility until we see how it is received. If there is any interest then we will get Jim to "draw up the papers."

John L. Myers

/ds

A08621

UCC 013846

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/18530/1

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/18530/2