CC for
J. Moore
E. Byrne
Norris
Quinn
W. Rawlings
W. Ross
C-File
F-File

RECEIVED
DEC 30 1971
UCC - CALIDRIA
KING CITY, CA.

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION

P.O. Box 579 Niagara Falls, N.Y. 14302

By H. B. Rhodes

Date 12/13/71

Full Name	Glidden-Durkee Division of SCM Corp.	**Interviewed**
Address	Coatings and Resins Group 1065 Glidden Street, NW Atlanta, GA 30319	Mr. Jim W. Watson - Technical Dir
Mfrs. of	Tele: 404-365-4760	Mr. Fred Connell - Dir. of Purcha

OBJECTIVE

Follow up on recent 200 pound RG-244 sales in October and Novembe

OBSERVATIONS

After some confusion it was determined that the RG-244 was for use in a gel coat formulation developed by their Minneapolis (Little Falls) location for Larson Boat, in Minneapolis. The Larson yard in Atlanta had order the same material by formulation number and Glidden had make it as per the borrowed formulation. The Carrolton, Texas order is almost certainly for Larson in Texas.

It was ascertained that these two (Atlanta and Minneapolis) Glidden locations use the same equipment and a detailed manufacturing procedure. The RG-244 is ground into the batch by the usual procedure and the final product is not screened. (Too thick.) Two small lots have been made and sent to Larson without complaints. Considerin our known problems and lack of real background in gel coats and isoresins, I chose not to rock the boat with any warnings or suggestions.

It should also be noted that Larson uses Venus Guns with fine tips and 100 mesh screens. They got in a big mess about 8-10 months ago with an Alpha gel coat made in Collierville containing about 1.5-2% RG-244. They made Alpha promise never to send them 244 containing resin again. Since Larson apparently has already tried the material the only course of action I can see is to sit tight and see what develops.

We also discussed the formulation latitude at this Glidden location. They can make some modest adjustments using approved raw materials within some fairly closely defined limits. He cannot experiment with RG-244 on his own and was in agreement that we were working with the right people in their home office.

Also, in view of the toxicology discussions we have been having with their Cleveland people, I took the opportunity to provide some background and reassurances. He did not even realize Calidria was asbestos!

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

UC-4597

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/19147/2