PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

UC-5044

REPORT OF CALL

G. L. Dickson - NF
T. P. Norris - Chicago
H B. Rhodes - Niagara Falls
C. Thurber - NY 38
Files
NF Files

Calidria asbestos

DEC 2 1974

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION

P.O. Box 579 Niagara Falls, N.Y. 14302

By J. E. Walsh

Date 11/19/74

Full Name Dow Chemical	Interviewed
Address Freeport, Texas	John Flickinger, Epoxy Resins Tech Service
Mfrs. of Polyester	Tom Anderson, Polyester Resins Tech Service

Call made following up 144 sample sent to Flickinger.

The sample was for shelf purposes as Flickinger advised that they had begun to get requests from their customers to see if Dow could or would incorporate it into their epoxies, undoubtedly to avoid the OSHA requirements. Nothing has been done with the sample so far, but after reviewing with John the 144 story, he at least is a little knowledgeable about what it can and can't do. Asked if I could talk with someone in their polyester group, and John went down the hall and got Anderson who advised that it was opportune that I called because they had a problem that they thought we should act on right away. Dow has for the last year or so recommended our 244 in preference to X to thicken their 470 resin. One of their customers had just been OSHA inspected, and were advised by the inspector that they could not use 244. Obtained the info from Anderson on his customer which was phoned to GLD's secretary.

LD Please let me know how you make out with Demoranville or Desjardines in Fall River so I can feed it back to Anderson as Dow doesn't want to continue recommending a material that OSHA will not let their customers use. They don't want to, but if our 244 becomes too much of a hassle, they will go back to suggesting Brand X. Discussed the toxicology issue in detail with Anderson, and he feels that both Dow and their customers can safely use it as he has passed our Safe use of RG-244 bulletin around to both his plant safety people and to customers he has recommended it to.

BR Anderson asked that I send him about 30 additional copies of the brochure as he is working from xerox copies now. Apparently, it satisfied his plant people and customers. Has our law department released it yet? If not, can we redesign something to mail to Dow on our letterhead? I am afraid that if we don't come back quickly with something positive, we might lose our biggest booster.

I advised Anderson that I would send him a toxicology packet including the latest info I had on 244. Anderson did in fact confirm that Dow had a ban on asbestos in their plant. Anderson originally fought the battle as the ban was supposed to include FRP materials containing 244, but he was able to get it revised to only bagged

asbestos. Discussed the Vesca problem and Anderson advised that right now, being as they had an alternative, he thought that they would be best to take it. If it got to be too much of a hassle, he could jump in, as he knows Don Chandler at Vesca. Asked about 244 chemical resistance vs Brand X and was advised by Tom, who is their corrosion specialist, that the materials have never been tested side by side, but the available evidence indicates that the lower the thix agent the better chemical resistance. This is why they went to 244 to begin with and would like to stay with it.

W Phone Anderson with the results of our contact with their Fall River, Mass. customer and what we can supply regarding the safe use of 244.