REPORT OF CALL

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
UC-5087

cc for G. L. Dickson
T. P. Norris
H. B. Rhodes
W. C. Thurber
~~KE~~ Files
NF Files

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
P.O. Box 579 Niagara Falls, N.Y. 14302

By J. E. Walsh

Date 11/6/73

Full Name	J. W. Mortell	Interviewed
Address	Griffin, Georgia	Tony Perry - Chief Chemist
Mfrs. of	Caulks, Sealants & Roof Coatings	

Call made to give them the results of our dust count taken in October.

Of the 8 samples taken, 2 were unreadable and 4 of the other 6 exceeded the ceiling level. The personal sample dumping Canadian 7R was 9.0 fibers and the personal sample dumping RG-110 and Pacific asbestos was 12.9 fibers. Reviewed with Perry each sample I had taken and the fiber count level we had gotten and advised him we would send them a written report. Advised Perry that we felt the validity of the numbers may be suspect as we had determined that the calibration of the pumps I used were found to be off 20-30% when they were returned to Niagara Falls. Also, the background samples taken were in areas where we expected to get the heaviest concentration and not where a worker would be exposed. An offer was made to Perry to have our regular dust count man come down and collect another series of samples to either confirm my suspect results or more hopefully, determine that the levels were lower and within standards. Perry then advised that OSHA had been in last week and ran a set of samples on the same two operations I had sampled. The main differences in the OSHA sampling was no backgrounds were taken and the personal sample was run during the entire batch operation and not during the asbestos usage only. They advised the inspector that a count had been made by us but they had not received the results as yet. The inspector told them it would be 4-5 weeks before the samples were checked and they would only hear if they were going to be cited. Based on this information, Perry checked with Doug Whitaker, the plant manager, to see if they wanted us to come in and run another set of samples. Whitaker feels it would only be academic at this point that the best bet for now will be to keep our fingers crossed to see what the next 4-5 weeks bring, and then if they don't hear anything, they can assume they are O.K., our results were in error, and we can come in again to run another series to get them the correct fiber level numbers. Perry promised to let me know ASAP if they hear anything.

JW Follow up with Perry 12/1/73.

Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
asbestosclaims.law/library/19580/1