PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT
UC-5368

TF-5317

FEB 1?

cc for T. P. Norris
W. C. Thurber
J. E. Walsh
KC-File
NF-File

UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
P.O. Box 579 Niagara Falls, N.Y. 14302

E. J. Kleber with
R. E. Byrne
J. L. Myers and
T. P. Norris

By _____

Date 1/28/75

Full Name Address Amoco Chemicals Corporation Research & Development Naperville, IL 60540 Mfrs. of	Interviewed James R. Meeder - Development Engineer Clyde S. Brenner - Director, Plastic Prod. Ed P. Easterday - District Sales Manager Robert Gilden - Product Manager Lee A. Sheridan and Robert A. McCord

OBJECTIVE

An internal meeting was set up for 1/28/75 by Amoco to discuss RG-600. We were invited to sit in on a small portion of it to discuss toxicology.

OBSERVATIONS

alloted 20 minutes turned out to be more like two hours. Discussion centered around toxicology, but technical matters were touched upon. It looks as if Amoco's application is reinforcement of structural foam, probably for food transportation such as pallets or bins.

The meeting (chaired by Jim Meeder) started out formally but soon became a free-wheeling round table discussion in which everybody had a chance to ask questions and/or make comments freely.

Most of the toxicology questions centered around in-plant handling, fiber counts, medical exams, change houses, special clothing, etc., which were answered and those present seemed to accept our story. It should be noted that Amoco is very active in the industrial hygiene picture and considered to be very competent. They may have just been confirming what their own hygiene department has told them. A question was raised regarding FDA approval. They were told that in order for an asbestos containing product to get FDA approval, it is necessary to demonstrate that the fibers will not migrate to the food. This really did not seem to upset anyone.

The only technical problem that arose was the appearance of a powdery substance on the surface of the samples of structural foam. This was noted in both samples present, one reinforced with RG-600 and one with RG-100. We were at a loss to explain this and we will attempt to get a sample and find out what the substance is.

All in all, it appeared to be a good meeting. Amoco seems to have a good feel for what RG-600 will do and also how to use it. They are concerned, but not panicky, about toxicology a appear to have a working knowledge of the OSHA Regulations.

DEPOSITION EXHIBIT
13

J.H Meeder presented us with two air samples and asked us to analyze them. He gave) explanation as to their origin, however, a slice had been taken from each one, so probably they are some sort of recheck.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

TPN - Continue close follow-up.

EJK - Analyze air samples and report results to Meeder.

ADDED NOTE: The air samples were analyzed and the results, to say the least, were baffling. One sample calculated out to be 3.4 fibers/cc, while the other was a whopping 69.5 fibers/cc. Will write a letter to Meeder with the results and try to find out what the samples are.

Typed 2/7/75
ds