GP 0-8

GEORGIA-PACIFIC

INTERDEPARTMENTAL COMMUNICATION

DATE: November 20, 1972

TO: Mr. C. W. Lehnert

LOCATION: Tigard

FROM: W. N. Gettel

LOCATION: Tigard

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

UC-5476

SUBJECT: ASBESTOS REPLACEMENT - ACME JOINT SYSTEM PRODUCTS

cc: Mr. T. W. Richards - Tigard
Mr. O. E. Burch - Portland
Mr. K. W. Brown - Acme
Mr. R. D. Meyer - Portland
Mr. W. D. Brooks - Carrollton
Mr. L. E. Winter - Acme
Mr. S. R. Clark - Acme

During the week of November 13, I visited the Acme plant in order to make up a field trial batch of All Purpose formulated with a substitute for the asbestos fiber. For the benefit of those receiving copies, the use of asbestos is considered a health hazard and rigid controls are being enacted.

A 2000 lb. batch of this new formula was made up with no difficulty. Subsequent lab tests indicated that the joint cement was of good quality.

On November 15 Lloyd Winter and I took 10 bags of this new product to Oklahoma City for a field test. The field test was conducted by Leon Harner who was described to us as being of the top three or four applicators in the Oklahoma City area and also as being very honest.

Leon's comments on the working properties follow:

1. "Mixed good.
2. Pinholes not bad.
3. The mud does not drop from Ames tools.
4. Goes good through Ames tools.
5. Body seemed to be real good.
6. Pock marking not bad.
7. Good in corners with hand tools.
8. Feathered out good along edges.
9. Works good around windows (deep fill on corner beads).
10. Crossed over wet joints real good - does not pull existing mud out.
11. Works as good as U.S.G. All Purpose Ready Mix.
12. Flares out a little on knife when spotting nails.
13. The mud works better than the present G-P All Purpose."

GP 008417

Union Carbide trial exhibit UC-5476: W. N. Gettel to C. W. Lehnert on Asbestos Replacement in Acme Joint System… Published by AsbestosClaims.Law
ACL Doc 19906 · Page 1 of 2 asbestosclaims.law/library/19906/1

Item 12 was the applicator's only negative comment. However, he did not think this property was very bad. I will be talking to the applicator in a few days in order to determine if any problems developed in subsequent use of the product.

We will be testing this new formula as a topping in the northwest in the near future. If these trials are successful, we will consider taking the product into the Memphis area for additional trials.

During my stay at the Acme plant, I made spot checks for quality and grit on eight random batches of four-gallon box Ready Mix. The batches were made on various dates from 10/2/72 to 11/11/72. The Ready Mix was of excellent quality and no evidence of grit was found.

W. N. G.

WNG:elz

FOR MESSRS. LEHNERT, RICHARDS, BROWN, WINTER, AND CLARK ONLY:

Raw Materials cost of this new formula is approximately $.365/bag compared to $.55/bag for the present All Purpose formula. Attagel Clay is confidential information and should not be related to anyone outside our own manufacturing organization.

GP 008418